

## Diagnosis of Leptospirosis in Febrile Patients of Golestan Province, Iran: Serology versus PCR

Saied Reza Naddaf<sup>1\*</sup>, Reyhaneh Mohabati<sup>2</sup>, Rouhollah Vahabpor<sup>3</sup>, Sabah Naeimi<sup>1</sup>, Sana Eybpoosh<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Parasitology, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran; <sup>2</sup>Department of Virology, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran; <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Lab Technology, School of Allied Medical Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; <sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Research Centre for Emerging and Reemerging Infectious Diseases, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Original Article

**Keywords:** Leptospirosis, IFA, PCR, Golestan, Iran; Diagnosis; Kappa statistics

Received: 28 Aug. 2020

Received in revised form: 08 Sep. 2020

Accepted: 15 Sep. 2020

DOI:10.29252/JoMMID.8.3.98

#### \*Correspondence

**Email:** saiedrezanaddaf@gmail.com

**Tel:** +98 216696885

**Fax:** +98 216696885

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Leptospirosis is a significant public health problem in the Caspian littoral of Iran comprising Gilan, Mazandaran, and Golestan provinces. In Golestan province, serology assays indicated anti-*Leptospira* antibodies in animals and humans; however, no reliable record of infections in patients with signs and symptoms of the disease is available. **Methods:** We employed the indirect immunofluorescent antibody assay (IFA), and two PCR assays, a real-time PCR (qPCR) and a nested-PCR targeting 16S rDNA (*rrs*) sequence for diagnosis of leptospirosis in febrile patients in Golestan province. **Results:** Out of 52 febrile patients, 25 (48.07%) had antibody titers  $\geq 1/80$  by IFA, and were defined as positive. In 7, 9, and 7 individuals, the antibody titers were 1/40, 1/20, and 1/10, respectively, and 4 had no antibodies. The qPCR and nested PCR detected leptospiral DNA in 55.75% and 67.3% of the patients' sera, respectively. The two PCR assays had a Kappa agreement of 0.53 ( $P < 0.0001$ ), suggesting a moderate agreement, and showed a significant reverse association with the IFA titers ( $P < 0.05$ ). **Conclusion:** Our results suggest that higher antibody titers accompanied the spirochete's removal from blood by the patient's immune response. Hence, a reliable diagnosis of *Leptospira* infection necessitates deploying a DNA-based method alongside serology.

### INTRODUCTION

Leptospirosis is the most widespread zoonotic disease in virtually all tropical and temperate areas worldwide. The pathogenic spirochetes in the genus *Leptospira* cause the disease, and humans acquire infection through direct contact with infected animals or contaminated waters with the urine of animal hosts [1-3]. The infections in humans present various clinical features ranging from a mild, flu-like illness to life-threatening manifestations, such as severe pulmonary hemorrhage syndrome and Weil's disease [4]. Annually, around 1.03 million humans acquire the infection worldwide, and some 58,900 dies [5]. The disease has a significant impact on people living in rural areas involved in farming, especially those working in paddy fields and urban settings with inadequate sanitary systems, e.g., slums [3, 5]. In Iran, the infection is endemic to the Caspian Sea littoral covering Golestan, Mazandaran, and Gilan provinces [6-9]. In this area, the disease appears as a seasonal infection, mostly affecting people in the countryside involved in agriculture and animal husbandry.

Previously, serology exhibited anti-*Leptospira* antibodies in horses [10], rodents [11], and humans [12] in Golestan province. However, no reliable record of symptomatic infections among individuals is available from this area. Here, we diagnosed the leptospirosis in suspected febrile patients in this province by the indirect immunofluorescent antibody assay (IFA) and two PCR assays, targeting the 16S rDNA (*rrs*) sequence.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Study area.** Golestan (before 1997, part of Mazandaran province) in the southeast of the Caspian Sea, is one of the Northern Provinces of Iran. It borders Mazandaran in the west, North Khorasan (Khorasan-e Shomali) in the east, and Semnan, in the south; it also shares a border with Turkmenistan in the north (Fig. 1). This province covers an area of 22,000 km<sup>2</sup>, and, with 2,530,696 inhabitants, is one of the populous areas in the country [13]. According to the

The map displays the geographical context of Iran, highlighting its borders with Armenia, Azerbaijan, Turkey, Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan. The Caspian Sea is to the north, and the Persian Gulf is to the south. An inset map provides a detailed view of the northern provinces, showing their names and the number of provinces they border:

- Maragheh Tappeh: 5
- Kalaleh: 5
- Gorbad-e Karus: 5
- Qazvin: 1
- Minudasht: 3
- Ramian: 6
- Azadshahr: 6
- Alaherd: 5
- Gorgan: 15
- Kordkuy: 1
- IR: 1
- Gomishan: 1
- Torkamaneh: 1

**IFA.** The serum samples were screened by a housemade IFA, as described previously [9, 16, 17]. The positive controls were the pooled sera from leptospirosis patients in the Caspian Sea littoral referred to health care centers during transmission seasons and had anti-*Leptospira* antibodies titers  $\geq 1/160$  by IFA. The negative control sera belonged to individuals residing in none leptospirosis endemic areas of Iran. The patients were defined as positive if their sera at titers  $\geq 1/80$  reacted with at least  $\approx 50\%$  of spirochetes in the microscopic fields resulting in fluorescence emittance. Regarding the occurrence of CCHF in the area [18], the sera were initially checked for anti-CCHF antibodies by the Department of Arboviruses and Viral Hemorrhagic Fevers Laboratory (National Reference Laboratory), Pasteur Institute of Iran as previously described [19].

**Real-time PCR (qPCR).** In qPCR assay, an 80-bp fragment was amplified by the primers, and the probe complementary to the *rrs* sequence (Table 1). The primers and the probe were designed and checked for specificity by the AlleleID software (<http://premierbiosoft.com/bacterial-identification/index.html>). The optimized primer/probe (metabion, Planegg, Germany) ratio and the annealing temperature were obtained by the Premix EXTaq™

(TaKaRa Bio, Shiga, Japan). The final optimized 25  $\mu$ l reaction tubes contained 1X Premix ExTaq™ (12.5  $\mu$ l), 5 pmol (0.2 pmol/ $\mu$ l) of each primer, 2.5 pmol (0.1pmol/ $\mu$ l) probe, 5  $\mu$ l of the template DNA, and Double distilled water (DDW) to the final volume. Amplification for all specimens was performed in duplicates in a Rotor-Gene 6000 instrument (Corbett Life Science, Sydney, Australia) programed for an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 10 sec, and annealing/elongation at 61 °C for 45 sec. DDW, as no-template controls (NTCs), were included in all assays to ensure DNA-free reagents. A ten-fold serial dilution of *Leptospira interrogans* containing approximately  $7 \times 10^5$ ,

$7 \times 10^4$ ,  $7 \times 10^3$ ,  $7 \times 10^2$ , and  $7 \times 10^1$ , copy numbers of bacterial DNA per reaction, were used in the controls to measure the limit of detection. The PCR inhibitors and DNA efficiency in the extraction procedure were checked by amplifying a mammalian housekeeping  $\beta$ -actin gene in the clinical specimens by the primers previously used by others [20].

**Nested PCR.** A 291 bp sequence was amplified by a nested PCR assay using the primers complementary to the *rrs* sequence (Table 1), and the reagents and protocols successfully practiced by others [6, 16]. Previously, we could detect as low as  $\geq 0.6$  spirochete genome per reaction by this method [16].

**Table 1.** The primers and the probe used in qPCR and nested PCR assays.

| Sequence                | Primer   | Amplicon size    | Reference     |
|-------------------------|--|------------------|---------------|
| <i>rrs</i> (qPCR)       | 5'-GTGAHCGGCCACAWTGGAA-3'<br>5'-TTCSCCCATTGAGCAAGATT-3'<br>Probe: FAM 5'-ACACGGTCCATACTC<br>CTACGGGAGGC-3' TAMRA             | 80 bp            | Present study |
| <i>rrs</i> (Nested PCR) | 5'-GGC GGC GCG TCT TAA ACA TG-3'<br>5'-GTCCGCCTACGCACCCTTTACG-3<br>5'-CAAGTCAAGCGGAGTAGCAA-3'<br>5'-CTTAACCTGCTGCCTCCCGTA-3' | 525 bp<br>291 bp | [6]           |

W is A, or T; H is A or C; T; S is G or C

**Statistical analysis.** Continuous data were described by mean  $\pm$  SD, median and interquartile range (IQR). The agreement between the results of qPCR and nested PCR tests was estimated by the Weighted Kappa coefficient. Spearman's rho correlation coefficient was used to assess the correlation between qPCR threshold ( $C_t$ ) values and IFA

titers. Also, the median of  $C_t$  values was compared between different IFA titers using the Kruskal Wallis test. Statistical tests were considered significant at 0.05 levels. Analyses were performed in Stata software (version 11; Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA).

**Table 2.** Diagnosis of leptospirosis by IFA and two PCR assays in febrile patients, Golestan Province, Iran

| IFA Titer | No. | Mean $\pm$ SD  | Threshold ( $C_t$ ) | Min, Max   | $P_{ANOVA}$ | qPCR Positive samples No. (%) | Nested PCR Positive samples No. (%) |
|-----------|-----|----------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|           |     |                | Median (IQR)        |            |             |                               |                                     |
| 1/320     | 6   | 35.9 $\pm$ 2.3 | 35.9 (34.3, 37.5)   | 34.3, 37.5 | 0.1912      | 2 (33.3)                      | 3 (50.0)                            |
| 1/160     | 6   | 31.9 $\pm$ 0.7 | 32.2 (31.1, 32.6)   | 31.1, 32.6 |             | 3 (50.0)                      | 3 (50.0)                            |
| 1/80      | 12  | 36.0 $\pm$ 3.3 | 37.2 (33.9, 38.4)   | 30.4, 39.1 |             | 4(33.3)                       | 3 (25.5)                            |
| 1/40      | 8   | 34.5 $\pm$ 3.1 | 33.6 (33.0, 34.9)   | 31.4, 39.6 |             | 6 (75.0)                      | 8 (100)                             |
| 1/20      | 9   | 33.3 $\pm$ 2.6 | 33.5 (32.9, 35.1)   | 27.4, 35.8 |             | 8 (88.8)                      | 9 (100)                             |
| 1/10      | 7   | 34.6 $\pm$ 0.5 | 34.6 (34.2, 34.9)   | 34.2, 34.9 |             | 4 (57.1)                      | 6 (85.7)                            |
| Negative  | 4   | 34.6 $\pm$ 2.7 | 32.7 (32.7, 36.5)   | 32.7, 36.5 |             | 2 (50.0)                      | 3 (75.0)                            |
| Total     | 52  |                |                     |            |             | 29 (55.7%)                    | 35 (67.3%)                          |

Spearman's rho: -0.0307;  $P_{Spearman}$ : 0.8817

N: Number of tested sera. SD: Standard Deviation; SEM, standard error of the mean at the confidence level of 95%.

## RESULTS

**Patients.** Febrile patients included 33 men and 19 women. For most of the patients, no data of the age and the occupation was available. Of the 44 patients that provided a resident address, 15 resided in Gorgan, 6 in Azadshahr, 5 in each county of Gonbad-e Kavus, Aliabad-e Katul, and Kalaleh, 3 in Minudash, and 1 in each county of Aqh-Qala, Kordkuy, Bandar Turkmen, Galikesh, and Bandar-e Gaz, (Fig. 1). Most people who lived in these counties had access to purified or clean water.

**IFA.** None of the patients was positive for CCHF virus antibodies. Among the 52 patients, 25 (48.07%) were positive for anti-*Leptospira* antibodies by the IFA with titers ranging from 1/80 to 1/320. In 7, 9, and 7 individuals, the antibody titers were 1/40, 1/20, and 1/10, respectively, and 4 had no antibodies (Table 2). All the positive control sera were positive with titers  $\geq 1/160$ , and all negative control sera became negative.

**qPCR and nested PCR.** The mean±  $C_t$  values for the spiked sera containing  $7 \times 10^5$ ,  $7 \times 10^4$ ,  $7 \times 10^3$ ,  $7 \times 10^2$ ,  $7 \times 10^1$  copy numbers of bacterial DNA per reaction were  $19.26 \pm 1.72$ ,  $24.82 \pm 2.20$ ,  $28.23 \pm 2.00$ ,  $33.22 \pm 2.87$ , and  $36.92 \pm 2.6$ , respectively. Of the 52 clinical specimens, 29 (55.75%) became positive by the qPCR with the mean  $C_t$  value  $34.11 \pm 0.996$ . The  $C_t$  values for the sera with various IFA titers showed no significant difference (0.1912). In the nested PCR assay, 35 (67.3%) out of 52 clinical specimens, became positive (Table 2, Fig. 2), yielding the expected  $\approx 290$  bp amplicon.

**Agreement between tests.** Our results showed a weighted kappa coefficient of 0.53 between the results of qPCR and nested PCR assays, which shows a moderate agreement rate. The observed agreement rate was also significantly higher than the chance agreement ( $P < 0.0001$ , Table 3).

There was a significant discrepancy between IFA and PCR test results, in a way that 67.9% and 89.3% of IFA-negative cases were positive by qPCR and nested PCR tests, respectively. On the other hand, both the qPCR and nested PCR tests were negative in 62.5% of IFA-positive cases. The observed reverse association between IFA and molecular tests was statistically highly significant (Table 4). The percent of cases with positive qPCR and nested PCR results showed a gradual increase as the IFA titer increased up to 1/20 and 1/40, respectively. For both PCR tests, the percent of positive results were much lower in IFA titers  $\geq 1/80$  rather than titer  $\leq 1/40$  (Fig. 2).

There was also a weak negative association between IFA titers and  $C_t$  values. This association, however, was not statistically significant ( $P_{\text{Spearman}} = 0.1912$ ). The median  $C_t$  values were also not significantly different across different IFA titers ( $P_{\text{ANOVA}} = 0.1912$ , Table 2).

**Table 3.** Agreement between qPCR and nested PCR tests in diagnosing leptospirosis in febrile patients, Golestan, Iran.

| Nested PCR |                         |                         |               |                |          |
|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------|
| qPCR       | No. of negative samples | No. of positive samples | Agreement (%) | Weighted Kappa | P-value  |
| Negative   | 15                      | 9                       | 76.9          | 0.53           | < 0.0001 |
| Positive   | 3                       | 25                      |               |                |          |

Kappa values  $\leq 0$  as indicating no agreement and 0.01–0.20 as none to slight, 0.21–0.40 as fair, 0.41–0.60 as moderate, 0.61–0.80 as substantial, and 0.81–1.00 as almost perfect agreement

**Table 4.** Agreement between PCR and IFA tests in diagnosing leptospirosis in febrile patients, Golestan, Iran.

| Molecular Test | IFA <sup>§</sup>            |                             | P-value   |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
|                | No. of negative samples (%) | No. of positive samples (%) |           |
| qPCR           |                             |                             |           |
| Negative       | 9 (32.1)                    | 15 (62.5)                   | 0.0290*   |
| Positive       | 19 (67.9)                   | 9 (37.5)                    |           |
| Nested PCR     |                             |                             |           |
| Negative       | 3 (10.7)                    | 15 (62.5)                   | <0.0001** |
| Positive       | 25 (89.3)                   | 9 (37.5)                    |           |
| Total          | 28 (100)                    | 24 (100)                    |           |

§ IFA titers  $\leq 1/40$  and  $\geq 1/80$  were considered as negative and positive, respectively. \* P-value was generated by the Chi-Square test; \*\* P-value was generated by Fisher's exact test.

# DISCUSSION

The Caspian Sea littoral, in the north of Iran, is an endemic area for leptospirosis. For a long time in this area, clinical features, especially manifestations, environmental conditions, and above all, seasonality, suggested an infectious disease that matched leptospirosis. However, due to the lack of access to reliable diagnostic tools, the disease remained neglected and underdiagnosed for many years. In the late 1990s, the disease received more attention in the country, and serological methods, mainly microscopic agglutination test (MAT), were employed to diagnose infection in both humans and animals. Early studies using MAT exhibited anti-*Leptospira* antibodies in various animals, and later this method and ELISA were used to screen people for leptospirosis [20-21]. Most studies on human leptospirosis in the Caspian Sea littoral of Iran are from Gilan and Mazandaran, and the data on Golestan is very scarce. In the only survey on humans in 2012, out of

1028 randomly tested individuals, 10.4% showed anti-*Leptospira* IgG antibodies by ELISA in this province [12].


The MAT is still the gold standard for serodiagnosis of leptospirosis due to the high sensitivity and capability of detecting group-specific antibodies. However, it requires a continuous culture of various serovars to prepare live antigens, making this tool exclusive to well-equipped reference laboratories. The IFA exhibited a reliable specificity and sensitivity compared with the MAT for the initial diagnosis of leptospirosis [21-22]. Our housemade IFA was also shown to be a reliable tool for diagnosis and epidemiological surveys of leptospirosis [9, 16, 17].

In the present study, there was a significant discrepancy between IFA and PCR test results, in a way that 67.9% and 89.3% of IFA-negative cases became positive for qPCR and nested PCR assays, respectively. On the other hand, both types of PCR tests were negative in 62.5% of IFA-positive cases. The observed reverse association between serology


and molecular tests was statistically highly significant (Table 4). The percent of cases with positive qPCR and nested PCR results showed a gradual increase as the IFA titer increased up to 1/20 and 1/40, respectively. The percent of positive

results for both PCR tests were much lower in IFA titers  $\geq 1/80$  (Fig. 2).

#### a) qPCR



#### b) Nested PCR



**Fig 2.** The percent of positive PCR test results by IFA titers. a) Percent of positive qPCR test results; b) Percent of positive nested PCR results.

In the current study, the IFA titers showed a slight inverse correlation with the qPCR  $C_t$  (Spearman's rho: -0.0307). Also, 67.9% and 89.3% of IFA-negative cases (titers  $\leq 1/40$ ) became positive for qPCR and nested PCR assays, respectively (Table 4). The observed association, however, was not statistically significant ( $P$ : 0.8817). Future studies with larger sample sizes may provide enough statistical power to detect real associations better. Our finding is in agreement with previous similar studies in the Caspian Sea littoral [9, 16], which showed that by the removal or reduction of *Leptospira* spirochete in blood by the patient's immune system, the antibodies titers increase. Previously, we showed that during the infection, the IFA becomes positive much later than PCR [16]. Hence, a reliable diagnosis of *Leptospira* infection necessitates deploying a DNA-based method alongside serology; the absence of either assay might result in underdiagnoses of infection.

We found both PCR assays reliable for early diagnosis of illness, but nested PCR was cheaper and appeared more appropriate for our laboratories in rural settings.

In the present study, most cases were from Gorgan, the Golestan province center, indicating more access to the health care centers by the inhabitants. Increased awareness of the infection in different parts of the province and strict surveillance, especially during transmission seasons, may result in the identification of more cases in remote areas of the province.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare there are no issues to be perceived as a conflict of interest with this article.

#### REFERENCES

- Bharti AR, Nally JE, Ricaldi JN, Matthias MA, Diaz MM, Lovett MA, et al. Leptospirosis: a zoonotic disease of global importance. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2003; 3 (12): 757-71.
- Levett PN. Leptospirosis. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2001; 14 (2): 296-326.

3. World Health Organization. Geneva, Informal Consultation on Global Burden of Leptospirosis: Methods of Assessment. Geneva, 25-27 Oct. 2006.
4. McBride AJ, Athanazio DA, Reis MG, Ko AI. Leptospirosis. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2005; 18 (5): 376-86.
5. Costa F, Hagan JE, Calcagno J, Kane M, Torgerson P, Martinez-Silveira MS, et al. Global Morbidity and Mortality of Leptospirosis: A Systematic Review. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2015; 9 (9): e0003898.
6. Djadid ND, Ganji ZF, Gouya MM, Rezvani M, Zakeri S. A simple and rapid nested polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism technique for differentiation of pathogenic and nonpathogenic *Leptospira* spp. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2009; 63 (3): 251-6.
7. Ghasemian R, Shokri M, Makhloogh A, Suraki-Azad MA. The course and outcome of renal failure due to human leptospirosis referred to a hospital in North of Iran; A follow-up study. *Caspian J Intern Med.* 2016; 7 (1): 7-12.
8. Honarmand H, Eshraghi S. Detection of Leptospire serogroups, Which Are Common Causes of Human Acute Leptospirosis in Guilan, Northern Iran. *Iran J Public Health.* 2011; 40 (1): 107-14.
9. Zakeri S, Sepahian N, Afsharpad M, Esfandiari B, Ziapour P, Djadid ND. Molecular epidemiology of leptospirosis in northern Iran by nested polymerase chain reaction/restriction fragment length polymorphism and sequencing methods. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2010; 82 (5): 899-903.
10. Eydi J, Golchin M, Sakhaee E, Amiri HR, Fayed MR. Detection of equine leptospiral antibodies by latex agglutination test in Iran. *Comp Clin Path.* 2017; 26 (3): 647-50.
11. Esfandiari B, Pourshafie MR, Gouya MM, Khaki P, Mostafavi E, Darvish J, et al. An epidemiological comparative study on diagnosis of rodent leptospirosis in Mazandaran Province, northern Iran. *Epidemiol Health.* 2015; 37: e2015012.
12. Javid N, Dadgar T, Khodabakhshi B, Bazouri M, Sedaghat M, Bakhshandeh-nosrat S, et al. Seroepidemiology of anti-*leptospira* antibody in Golestan province, north of Iran. *Int J Mol Clin Microb.* 2012; 2 (1): 124-7.
13. Statistical Centre of Iran, Census, 2016. Available from: <https://www.amar.org.ir/english/Populationand-Housing-Censuses>.
14. Kottek M, Grieser J, Beck C, Rudolf B, Rubel F. World Map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. *Meteorol Z.* 2006; 15 (3): 259-63.
15. Raziei T. Köppen-Geiger climate classification of Iran and investigating its changes during 20th century. *J Earth Space Phys.* 2016; 43 (2): 419-39.
16. Garshasbi V, Naddaf SR, Aghighi Z, Hassan N, Pooya M, Mostafavi E. Leptospirosis in Caspian Sea littoral, Gilan Province, Iran. *Acta Trop.* 2018; 181: 11-5.
17. Esmaeili S, Naddaf SR, Pourhossein B, Hashemi Shahraki A, Bagheri Amiri F, Gouya MM, et al. Seroprevalence of Brucellosis, Leptospirosis, and Q Fever among Butchers and Slaughterhouse Workers in South-Eastern Iran. *PloS one.* 2016; 11 (1): e0144953.
18. Sedaghat M, Sarani M, Chinikar S, Telmadarraiy Z, Moghaddam A, Azam K, et al. Vector prevalence and detection of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus in Golestan Province, Iran. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2017; 54 (4): 353-7.
19. Chinikar S, Ghiasi SM, Naddaf S, Piazak N, Moradi M, Razavi MR, et al. Serological evaluation of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in humans with high-risk professions living in enzootic regions of Isfahan province of Iran and genetic analysis of circulating strains. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2012; 12 (9): 733-8.
20. Dabiri S, Safavi M, Shamsi Meymandi S, Yousefi K, Shamsi Meymandi M, Fotouhi Ardakani R, et al. Molecular pathology and histopathological findings in localized leishmania lymphadenitis. *Arch Iran Med.* 2014; 17 (2): 122-6.
21. Khalili M, Sakhaee E, Bagheri Amiri F, Safat AA, Afshar D, Esmaeili S. Serological evidence of leptospirosis in Iran; A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Microb Pathog.* 2020; 138:103833.
22. Appassakij H, Silpapojakul K, Wansit R, Woodtayakorn J. Evaluation of the immunofluorescent antibody test for the diagnosis of human leptospirosis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1995; 52 (4): 340-3.

# Cite this article:

Naddaf SR, Mohabati R, Vahabpor R, Naeimi S, Eybpoosh S. Diagnosis of Leptospirosis in febrile patients, Golestan Province, Iran. *J Med Microbiol Infect Dis*, 2020; 8 (3): 83-89. DOI: 10.29252/JoMMID.8.3.98