The Role of the Gray Wolf in Rabies Transmission in Iran and Preliminary Assessment of an Oral Rabies Vaccine in this Animal
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INTRODUCTION

Rabies is an acute progressive viral encephalitis and one of the most critical zoonotic diseases worldwide with the fatality rate of almost 100% [1]. The disease is commonly transmitted through the bite of rabid animals. The etiological agent of the disease is an enveloped single-stranded negative-sense RNA virus belonging to the Rhabdoviridae family and the genus Lyssavirus (Order Mononegavirales). Members of this family occur on all continents except Antarctica [2]. Carnivores are the main reservoirs of the virus in nature and dogs play a significant role in the transmission of rabies to humans in most developing countries. Whereas, wild animals are mainly responsible for the dissemination of rabies in developed countries [3]. Some of these countries have controlled sylvatic cycle of rabies by oral vaccination. Transmission of rabies from wildlife to domestic animals and humans remains a severe public health concern in many countries [4]. In addition to human deaths, rabies is responsible for substantial cattle losses and pose a threat to rare carnivore species [5, 6]. In northern provinces of Iran, the majority of rabies occurs in livestock kept in barns [7, 8]. Rabies, as an endemic disease, circulates among both wild and domestic animals of Iran. Annually, the national reference center for rabies, Pasteur Institute of Iran, confirms several hundred rabid animals. In addition to the dogs, wild carnivores such as foxes, jackals, and wolves are vectors of rabies in wildlife. Until now, 62 rabies foci have been identified in Iran’s wildlife with the disease spillovers to domestic animals in these areas [9, 10]. Previous studies showed that dogs and foxes in the north and wolves in the west and northwest of Iran were the most important vectors of the disease. Dogs were the most frequent potential source of exposure to rabies (62%) during 1993-1994 and 2008-2009. In Khorasan and Kerman provinces in the east and south of Iran, wild rabies was more critical in comparison to other regions [11, 12]. In Ilam province, western Iran, dogs were responsible for the majority of animals bites (89.2%) during 1999-2009, followed by cats (5%), wolves (0.7%), jackals (0.6%), foxes (0.3%), and other animals (4.2%) [13]. In Tehran, most of the animal bites were inflicted by dogs (65.9%), followed by cats (25.44%), ferrets (3.98%), monkies (1.52%), hamsters (1.41%), and other animals (1.84%) [14].

Vaccination of wild animals and dogs can prevent the circulation of the rabies virus in the wildlife and its
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transmission to domestic animals and humans as well. Annually, about 300-400 thousands owned dogs receive a vaccination by veterinary clinics in Iran. However, this approach does not cover stray dogs. The leftovers and garbage attract stray dogs and wild animals resulting in an increased risk of human exposure to animal bites. This condition also increases the possibility of crossbreeding of dogs and wolves. More than 160,000 animal bites by various animals are reported annually, of which 10.8% are attributed to wolves (CDC, Ministry of Health and Medical Education (MOHME) of Iran). In some developing countries, preventive vaccination against rabies is recommended for people and especially for children who live in endemic areas. In most endemic regions of the world, 99% of rabies transmissions to humans are due to the dog bites. Hence, mass vaccination of dogs is considered as the most efficient way to control human rabies [15]. In Iran, dogs and cats are responsible for almost 95% of the bites, whereas wild animals are responsible for more than one-third of human rabies deaths.

The sylvatic cycle of rabies preserves the virus among wildlife and maintains the likelihood of rabies reemergence [16]. Elimination of rabies in wildlife and prevention of the disease transmission from wildlife to domestic and companion animals in endemic areas can be achieved by mass vaccination campaigns [17]. In developed countries, attempts are directed to control rabies in wildlife, and oral rabies vaccination (ORV) has received considerable attention as a promising and socially acceptable approach. The oral bait vaccines can be distributed over extensive areas in a short period. Until now, several strains of rabies vaccine baits have been developed and applied to the wildlife worldwide [18, 19], and the WHO has approved some oral vaccine baits for rabies control in wildlife [20]. For example, according to the EU requirements, the SAG2 baits were efficient for vaccination of the red fox and raccoon dog, and Raboral V-RG® has been widely used to control rabies in foxes and raccoons [21, 22]. This recombinant anti-rabies vaccine, due to using a live virus, is only recommended for wild animals. The recombinant vaccinia virus is stable at elevated temperatures and can be delivered orally. Different reports showed the elimination of sylvatic rabies by using Raboral V-RG® in United States, Canada, and several other countries [23].

History of the wolf associated rabies in Iran demonstrates the aggressive behavior and excessive physical power of this animal in inflicting deep and numerous injuries to the victim's body. Hence, the rabid wolf has long been considered as one of the most dangerous carriers of the disease in wildlife of Iran [24]. The current study has analyzed the role of wild animals in the transmission of rabies in Iran and the ability of Raboral V-RG® as an ORV in the production of neutralizing antibodies against rabies virus in the captive gray wolves (Canis lupus pallipes).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Vaccines. We used Raboral V-RG® vaccine baits for oral vaccination. Each bait block (size: ~1 ¼” x 1 ¼”) contained 2 ml of recombinant vaccinia virus (10^7.7 plaque forming units per ml) encoding the rabies virus surface glycoprotein, coated by fishmeal. For comparison, Rabisin vaccine was used for vaccination through parenteral route. The commercial company of Merial® manufactures both vaccines.

Fig. 1. Location of Koohsar town (shown by the red square) in Alborz province of Iran, where the wolves were kept, and the vaccination study was performed
Animals. Five adult healthy captive gray wolves (*Canis lupus pallipes*) including two males and three females with an average weight of 36 and 26 kg, respectively were used in this study. A group of four wolves was fed by vaccine baits, and a single wolf received vaccination through parenteral route. Production of neutralizing antibodies was monitored for 16 months. This interventional study was performed at wildlife rehabilitation center of Issar recreation and cultural complex, Koohsar county, Karaj, Iran (Fig. 1).

Vaccine Administration. Before feeding with baits, the animals were starved for 24 h, and then each animal in the test group was allowed to feed on one bait. The animals’ reaction to the baits was filmed.

Measuring of anti-rabies antibodies. We collected blood from wolves on days 0, 14, 90, 360 and 480 post-vaccination by a veterinarian surgeon. To minimize injuries, the animals were anesthetized with a blowpipe gun containing 5 mg Medetomidine 1% (Orbene Co., Spain) and 250 mg Ketamine 10% (Alfasan Co., Netherland). Samples of 5 ml of blood were collected from each wolf using non-heparinized syringes and preserved at -20°C until used. Finally, blood sera were analyzed by rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test (RFFIT) to measure anti-rabies antibodies. Vital signs of animals were closely monitored while taking the blood sampling, and side measures such as administration of antibiotics and other examinations were performed for the animals’ well being. The animals were reversed with 200 mcg/kg in 0.4 ml/10 kg of Atipamezole hydrochloride. A microchip was implanted subcutaneously in each animal for further examinations.

Statistical analysis of data. Statistical analysis of the data obtained from the Veterinary Organization and Department of Environment of the Islamic Republic of Iran were performed by the SPSS software version 9 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Statistics were given in the form of mean ±SD along with the median.

RESULTS

Geographic occurrence of animal bites. According to the statistical analysis, 55% of animal bites occur in rural areas and the rest in urban areas. The most abundant rabid wolves’ population were from Fars province followed by Hamadan, Zanjan, East Azerbaijan, West Azerbaijan and Kurdistan provinces. There was no report of the rabid wolf from South Khorasan, Sistan and Baluchistan, Qom and Bushehr provinces. Prevalences of rabid animals in different provinces are shown in Figure 2.

The prevalence of rabies among the wolves’ populations showed an incremental trend during 2000-2009 with the highest incidence in 2002 (Fig. 3). The data showed that the prevalence of rabies in wolves and foxes was higher than other wild animals (0.2%) (Fig. 4). Besides, wolves were the second most important wild animal causing human rabies (9%) (Fig. 5).

![Fig. 2. Prevalence of rabies among wild animals in different provinces of Iran in a 10-year period (2000-2009)](image)

![Fig. 3. Prevalence of rabies in wild animals during 2000-2009 in Iran](image)
Fig. 4. Prevalence (percentage) of rabies in different animals from 2000 to 2009 in Iran

Fig. 5. Percentage of human rabies caused by various species of animals in a 10-year period (2000-2009) in Iran

Fig. 6. Wolves reaction to vaccine baits, (left) attraction of the hungry wolves to the baits (shown by a red arrow), (right) a wolf rolling on the bait trying to break it

Wolves Reaction to the bait. The wolves showed particular reactions to the vaccine baits not observed in raccoon and foxes [25, 26, 27]. Some wolves tried to break the bait by rolling over it, and some broke it by teeth, throwing away the paraffin content and vaccine within it, eating only its coating meal (Fig. 6). These animals were anesthetized, and the vaccine capsule inside the bait was instilled onto the tongue and oral cavity by hand. Muscle relaxation in all animals was regular, and palpebral reflexes were present after anesthesia.

RFFIT tests. The test was carried out in the accredited laboratory of the WHO-collaborating center for Reference and Research on Rabies, Pasteur Institute of Iran, according to a WHO protocol [28, 29]. At the day 90 post-vaccination, the titers were over or equal to 3.3 IU/ml for all vaccinated wolves. The titers remained over 0.5 IU/ml over 78 weeks in all wolves indicating sufficient protection against potential rabies infection [30].

DISCUSSION

According to previous reports [24], and the present study, foxes (Vulpes vulpes), wolves (Canis lupus pallipes) and jackals (Canis aureus), due to their susceptibility to rabies virus and geographical distribution, are among the most important wild carnivores responsible for rabies transmission in Iran. Our analysis showed that ≈55% of animal bites occurred in rural areas with the rabid wolves as the second most important cause of human rabies. These data also emphasized on adopting a strategy for controlling rabies in wild animals especially wolves. In rabid endemic countries, several strategies including the oral rabies vaccination and capture-neuter-vaccinate-release programs, point zoonoses controls, and population management of vector animals have been implemented to control rabies [29]. The concept of oral rabies vaccination efficacy in wild carnivores has been proved since three decades ago [31]. However, in developing countries implementation of rabies control programs using oral vaccines has been a challenge (REF). The V-RG® recombinant vaccine showed a great promise as an oral vaccine for wildlife during the 1980s when the experiments were conducted on captive raccoons and foxes [32]. Unlike similar studies on ORVs [19], in our study, seroconversion was not observed until two weeks. However, the level of anti-rabies antibodies after seroconversion remained over 0.5 IU/ml in all vaccinated wolves suggesting the efficacy of Raboral V-RG® as an effective vaccine for wolves.

Despite significant scientific progress, more than 60,000 deaths from rabies are still reported worldwide annually, and millions of others require post-exposure treatment [33]. Development of the disease can be prevented in human by
appropriate wound care and one of the standard post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) regimens [34]. However, PEP is relatively expensive and not always available. PEP alone lacks long-term benefits because it can neither stop the virus in the animal hosts nor its transmission to humans [35].

The implementation of rabies control programs using ORV has been a challenge for veterinary authorities, particularly in densely populated areas in Central Europe [36]. Most new modified-live rabies virus oral vaccines originate from the attenuated Evelyn-Rokitnicki-Abelseth (ERA) virus strain, which was derived from the original Street-Alabama-Dufferin (SAD) rabies virus strain [37]. The first oral rabies vaccine (ORV) strain was SAG2 (Street-Alabama-Dufferin [SAD]-avirulent-gif) that was mutated from the SAD Bern strain by utilizing anti-glycoprotein monoclonal antibodies. No biological safety issues have been reported with the SAG2 strain vaccination, and all vaccinated dogs and raccoons showed protection when challenged with the virulent RABV [20, 38]. Another ORV strain, a recombinant deleted E1/E3 Adenovirus strain expressing rabies glycoprotein, could induce production of neutralizing antibodies in dogs, skunks, and raccoons [38]. Other ORVs, like a canarypox-rabies glycoprotein recombinant vaccine, and a recombinant pseudorabies that expresses RABV glycoprotein, showed to be safe and effective for animals [39, 40].

Fighting rabies requires knowledge of the disease cycle between human, wildlife and domestic animals. Of course, the disease control in wildlife is different from preventive measures for domestic animals. In urban areas, population control programs aimed at culling of stray animals were unsuccessful due to economic, ecological and ethical reasons [3]. From observations on the relationship between vaccination coverage and rabies incidence in dogs, it was concluded that rabies outbreaks could be prevented if at least 70% of the dogs were immunized [17]. The success of wildlife rabies control program depends upon timely and accurate rabies surveillance data, so that response to rabies outbreaks could be immediate with no time lags. Raboral V-RG® was approved for immunization of raccoons and outbreaks could be immediate with no time lags. Raboral accurate rabies surveillance data, so that response to rabies wildlife rabies control program depends upon timely and vaccination coverage and rabies incidence in dogs, it was least 70% of the dogs were immunized [17]. The success of reasons [3]. From observations on the relationship between unsuccessful due to economic, ecological and ethical control programs aimed at culling of stray animals were measures for domestic animals. In urban areas, population the disease control in wildlife is different from preventive measures.

expresses RABV glycoprotein, showed to be safe and recombinant vaccine, and a recombinant pseudorabies that expresses RABV glycoprotein, showed to be safe and effective for animals [39, 40].

Fighting rabies requires knowledge of the disease cycle between human, wildlife and domestic animals. Of course, the disease control in wildlife is different from preventive measures for domestic animals. In urban areas, population control programs aimed at culling of stray animals were unsuccessful due to economic, ecological and ethical reasons [3]. From observations on the relationship between vaccination coverage and rabies incidence in dogs, it was concluded that rabies outbreaks could be prevented if at least 70% of the dogs were immunized [17]. The success of wildlife rabies control program depends upon timely and accurate rabies surveillance data, so that response to rabies outbreaks could be immediate with no time lags. Raboral V-RG® was approved for immunization of raccoons and coyotes, the two most significant rabies carriers in wildlife of North America [41]. Unlike raccoons and coyotes, in the present study, production of antibodies in captive wolves did not occur until 10-14 days after eating vaccine baits. Further studies on reactions of different wild animals to the vaccine baits and the vaccine uptake by them [42] would help us to develop appropriate baits for various carnivores living in different geographical areas Iran. Also, larger-scale studies on non-target animals are required to ensure its efficacy in control of wildlife rabies before widespread implementation of ORVs in Iran.
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