# Original Article

# Phenotypic Identification and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of AmpC beta-Lactamase Producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Isolated from Urinary Tract Infections from a Tertiary Care Hospital of Rawalpindi, Pakistan

\*Nadia Saad, Tehmina Munir, Maliha Ansari, Mehreen Gilani, Mahwish Latif, Amira Haroon

Department of Microbiology, Army Medical College, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Rawalpindi, Pakistan.

## Received Sep 15, 2015; accepted Feb 20, 2016

Introduction: This study is aimed to compare phenotypic test methods and determine antibiotic susceptibility pattern of AmpC beta-lactamase producing uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in clinical isolates. **Method:** *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were identified by standard microbiological procedures. Screening of AmpC beta-lactamase production was done by using cefoxitin disc (30 μg) showing inhibition zone diameter of <18 mm. Then, screen-positive isolates were subjected to Disc Approximation Test (DAT) and three dimensional extract test (3-DET) methods. Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed by Kirby Bauer Disc diffusion technique. **Results:** A total of 120 Gram Negative Rods (GNRs) were included in the study. Amongst them cefoxitin resistant isolates were 68.33% (n=82/120). In these 82 isolates, *E. coli* were n=57 (69.51%) and *K. pneumoniae* were n=25 (30.48%). DAT identified 52.43% of AmpC beta-lactamase producing isolates, sensitivity of DAT was found to be 88% with specificity of 92%, Positive Predictive Value of 92.68%, Negative Predictive Value of 87.80%, and Diagnostic Accuracy of 90.24%. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by Kirby Bauer Disc diffusion technique showed that carbapenems (meropenem) and tigecycline were of higher therapeutic effects against these resistant pathogens. **Conclusion:** Introducing simple tests like DAT in the laboratories can control the spread of AmpC beta-lactamase harboring organisms. Carbapenems (meropenem) and tigecycline are of suitable therapeutic effect against these resistant pathogens. *J Med Microbiol Infec Dis, 2014, 2 (4): 143-146* 

Keywords: Extended spectrum beta-lactamase, Disc approximation test, Three dimensional extract test.

### INTRODUCTION

Emergence of antimicrobial resistance amongst uropathogenic Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae has become a major public health concern of the 21st century [1]. According to World Health Organization (WHO) report 2014, alarming rates of resistance have been reported in all WHO regions due to resistant pathogens like E. coli, K. pneumoniae and Staphylococcus saprophyticus causing urinary tract infections (UTI), bloodstream infections, wound infections and pneumonia [2]. Hence, emergence of antimicrobial resistance due to AmpC beta-lactamase producing uropathogenic E. coli and K. pneumoniae are of accelerating, augmenting and increasing clinical concern accounting for 80% of community and hospital acquired UTI [3]. The appropriate selection of antibiotic for the treatment of UTI is inadequate and restricted by the increasing rates of antibiotic resistance due to AmpC beta-lactamase producing uropathogenic bacteria [4]. Hence, AmpC beta-lactamases are cephalosporinases, which are associated with in vitro resistance to all beta-lactam antibiotics except for carbapenems and cefepime [5]. These beta-lactamases are chromosomally encoded as well as plasmid encoded [6]. Uropathogenic E. coli and K. pneumoniae, producing plasmid-mediated beta-lactamases AmpC contribute towards nosocomial outbreaks of infection [7]. Detection and discernment of AmpC beta-lactamases is a challenge and trial for the clinical diagnostic laboratories [8]. Hence,

absence and unavailability of an authentic method for identifying these resistant pathogens cause their rapid dissemination [9]. Currently there are no Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) recommended guidelines for identification of AmpC beta-lactamase harboring pathogens [10]. Therefore, there is a great need to implement simple and authentic methods in routine laboratory investigations to accurately detect these resistant pathogens especially in developing countries. Researchers have used various test methods for AmpC beta-lactamase detection, like the three dimensional extract test (3-DET) method [11], inhibitor based method [12], cefoxitin agar method [13] and Disc Approximation Test (DAT) methods [14]. The prevalence of AmpC beta-lactamase producing bacteria increases the burden of implementing infectious disease management globally [15].

\*Correspondence: Nadia Saad

House #65, Street #112, Sector G11/3, Islamabad,

Pakistan, 44000.

Email: docnadiasaad@gmail.com

**Tel:** +92 (336) 5521798 **Fax:** +92 (336) 5155985

Thus, their accurate and authentic detection is important from epidemiological and infection control point of view [16]. This prompted us to determine the frequency of AmpC beta-lactamase producing bacteria isolated from a tertiary care hospital of Pakistan by introducing simple tests like DAT in routine laboratory diagnosis. We found that DAT method is simple, easy, reliable and cost effective phenotypic confirmatory test. Carbapenems (meropenem) and tigecycline are effective therapeutic options against AmpC beta-lactamase producing uropathogenic bacteria [17].

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

This cross-section validation study was carried out from November 2014 to April 2015 at the Department of Microbiology, Army Medical College, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Islamabad, Pakistan. The samples were collected after approval from the institutional ethics committee. Non Probability, convenience sampling technique was used.

A total of 120 Gram negative rods (GNRs) were isolated from the clinical samples of urine and cultured on Cystine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient (CLED) agar. Organisms were identified by standard microbiological procedures (Gram's stain appearance, colonial morphology, catalase test, cytochrome oxidase reaction, motility, routine biochemical tests) and by using API 20 E (Biomerieux, France).

Antibiotic sensitivity was performed by using modified Kirby Bauer disc diffusion technique. Phenotypic detection of Extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase (ESBL) was carried out by disc diffusion test method as per CLSI screening criteria [10]. Isolates were screened for AmpC beta-lactamase production by disc diffusion method using cefoxitin disc (Oxoid, UK). A 30 µg cefoxitin disc was placed on inoculated Mueller-Hinton agar plates (Oxoid, UK). According to CLSI [10] criteria, isolates with zone diameter less than 18 mm were considered to be an AmpC beta-lactamase producer. *E. coli* 25922 was used as a control strain.

3-DET method as described by Coudron and colleagues was used as a standard phenotypic method to detect AmpC beta-lactamase production [11]. In this method, 0.5 McFarland bacterial suspensions were prepared from an overnight culture. Then 50 µl of each was inoculated in 10 ml of trypticase soy broth (TSB, Oxoid, UK). TSB was incubated at 37°C for 4 h. Bacterial cells were concentrated by centrifugation and enzyme preparations were made by freeze thawing the cell pellets five times. The surface of a Mueller-Hinton agar plate was inoculated with control strain of E. coli ATCC25922. A cefoxtin disc (30 µg) was placed in the center of inoculated agar plates. A sterile scalpel blade was used to make a slit of 5 mm in the agar near the disc in outward radial direction. After that, 30 µl of the enzyme preparation was dispensed into the slit, by using a micropipette. The inoculated agar plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The enhanced growth of surface organism at the point where the slit intersected the zone of inhibition was considered as a positive 3-DET test.

All isolates subjected to 3-DET method were at the same time also tested by DAT method as described by Gupta et al. [13]. In this method, 0.5 McFarland bacterial suspension was prepared from an overnight blood agar plate. Then MHA plate was inoculated using this suspension as per standard disk diffusion method. A 30 µg ceftazidime disk was placed at the center of MHA plate. Also 10 µg imipenem, 30 µg cefoxitin, and 20/10 ug amoxicillin-clavulanate disks were placed at a distance of 20 mm from ceftazidime disk. The plate was incubated at 35°C for 24 h. After overnight incubation, the plate was examined for any obvious blunting or flattening of the zone of inhibition between the ceftazidime disk and the imipenem, cefoxitin and amoxicillin-clavulanate disks. Result was interpreted to be positive for AmpC beta-lactamase production if blunting or flattening of the zone was observed.

Antimicrobial susceptibility of isolates against aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, cotrimoxazole, carbapenems, tetracyclines, nitrofurantoin and beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor combination was tested by using Kirby Bauer disc diffusion technique, according to the CLSI guidelines.

The comparative statistical analysis was done by using SPSS version 20, 2 x 2 table. Data obtained from 3-DET method was considered as the gold standard [11] for this study and compared with data from DAT methods.

Parameters like sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value, positive predictive value and diagnostic accuracy were determined. True positives were AmpC beta-lactamase producers by both 3-DET and DAT methods. False positive were AmpC beta-lactamase producers by DAT and not by 3-DET. False negative were the isolates which were non-AmpC beta-lactamase producers by DAT but were producing AmpC beta-lactamases by 3-DET. True negatives those which were non-AmpC beta-lactamase producers by both methods.

# **RESULTS**

A total of 120 GNRs were included in the study. Out of which the screening test with cefoxitin disk (30  $\mu$ g) identified n=82 (68.33%) isolates as possible AmpC beta-lactamase producers. Amongst these isolates uropathogenic *E. coli* were n=57 (69.51%) and *K. pneumoniae* were n=25 (30.48%).

These 82 isolates were subjected to 3-DET method and DAT method for AmpC beta-lactamase detection. DAT method identified 52.43% of AmpC beta-lactamase producing isolates, sensitivity of DAT was found to be 88%, specificity was 92%, Positive Predictive Value was 92.68%, Negative Predictive Value was 87.80% and Diagnostic Accuracy was 90.24% as shown in table 1.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing by Kirby Bauer Disc diffusion technique showed that AmpC beta-lactamase producing bacteria were more sensitive to carbapenems (meropenem) and tigecycline. On the other hand they showed marked resistance to aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, cotrimoxazole and tetracyclines as shown in table 2.

Table 1. Comparison of DAT with 3-DET

Statistic	Formula	Value	95% CI
Sensitivity	a a + b	88.37%	74.92% to 96.11%
Specificity	$\frac{d}{c+d}$	92.31%	79.13% to 98.38%
Positive Likelihood Ratio	Sensitivity 100 – Specificity	11.49	3.85 to 34.26
Negative Likelihood Ratio	100 – Sensitivity Specificity	0.13	0.05 to 0.29
Disease prevalence	$\frac{a+b}{a+b+c+d}$	52.44% (*)	41.11% to 63.59%
Positive Predictive Value	$\frac{a}{a+c}$	92.68% (*)	80.08% to 98.46%
Negative Predictive Value	$\frac{d}{b+d}$	87.80 % (*)	73.80% to 95.92%

Note. Diagnostic Accuracy = 90.24%

Table 2. Resistance pattern of positive AmpC beta-lactamase isolates n=82

Drugs	Resistance (n)	Percentage (%)
Amikacin	36	43
Gentamycin	28	34
Ciprofloxacin	20	24
Minocycline	37	45
Cotrimoxazole	45	54
Piperacillin Tazobactam	48	58
Tigecycline	4	4
Meropenem	0	0

# DISCUSSION

Accurate identification of AmpC beta-lactamase production is significant in order to establish adequate antibiotic therapy [18]. It is urgent to introduce an appropriate phenotypic method that can be integrated into diagnostic clinical laboratories for detection of antimicrobial resistance due to AmpC beta-lactamase producing bacteria [19]. Perplexity and confusion exists about the implication of these resistance mechanisms, proper reporting conventions and most appropriate routine test methods. Failure to detect AmpC beta-lactamases has led to their unrestrained spread and therapeutic failures [20]. In this study, DAT (phenotypic confirmatory test) was tested against a standard phenotypic test (3-DET). DAT detected the AmpC beta-lactamase carrying bacteria accurately, as sensitivity of this method was 88% and specificity was 92%. Regional data from India showed that percentage of AmpC beta-lactamase producing bacteria as detected by DAT was 23% by Singhal et al. [21]. Another study from Ahmedabad, India by Modi et al. [22] detected 81% of AmpC beta-lactamases by DAT method. Similarly in a study by Michael at al. [23] DAT detected 85% of AmpC beta-lactamases, which is comparable with our study results. In a study by Tan et al. [24] DAT detected 25.2% AmpC beta-lactamases, unlike our study results.

Other phenotypic methods like the Kirby-Bauer disk potentiation method, cefoxitin-Hodge test, AmpC saline disc test method, Combined disc diffusion test and AmpC E test methods are labour-intensive, technically intricate,

expensive and inappropriate for routine screening in clinical microbiology laboratories. For example, AmpC saline disc test method is difficult to perform with maximum chance of human error since it is tricky to adjust the pH of EDTA buffer, if it is being prepared in-house hence, showing false negative results. Boronic acid disc test method is relatively easy to perform and test results are encouraging, but it is expensive, costing eleven thousand rupees for only boronic acid, with additional seven thousand rupees for DMSO. Therefore it is not suitable for a low income country to carry out these tests in routine microbial testing. Similarly, AmpC E-test method is reliable, results are encouraging but it is also very expensive, costing thirty seven thousand rupees for only twenty tests. Besides that trained staff is required to interpret E-test results. PCR test remains the gold standard test for identifying AmpC beta-lactamases [25]. This test is also very expensive and can be performed only in well-equipped laboratories. Besides that a highly trained staff is required to perform and interpret PCR test results.

However, implementation of simple, accurate, and cost effective diagnostic tests like DAT in routine laboratory investigations in developing countries like Pakistan, India and Afghanistan can help to eradicate and control antimicrobial resistance due to AmpC beta-lactamases.

Antimicrobial sensitivity testing by Kirby Bauer Disc Diffusion technique showed carbapenems (meropenem) to be better therapeutic option and these results are comparable to a regional study by Afreenish *et al.* who showed carbapenems to be 100 percent sensitive against these resistant pathogens [17]. Similarly in a study by Delgado-Valverde *et al.* carbapenems showed good invitro susceptibility against these resistant pathogens [26].

Introducing simple tests like DAT in the laboratories can control the spread of AmpC beta-lactamase harboring uropathogens. Carbapenems (meropenem) and tigecycline can be good therapeutic options against these resistant pathogens.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The study was conducted by financial support of Army Medical College (Department of Microbiology) and National University of Sciences and Technology.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Hemalatha V, Padma M, Sekar U, Vinodh TM, Arunkumar AS. Detection of Amp C beta-lactamases production in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* by an inhibitor based method. Indian J Med Res. 2007; 126 (3): 220-3.
- 2. WHO. Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance 2014; 12-6.
- 3. Bouza E, San Juan R, Muñoz P, Voss A, Kluytmans J; Cooperative Group of the European Study Group on Nosocomial Infections. A European perspective on nosocomial urinary tract infections II: Report on incidence, clinical characteristics and outcome (ESGNI-004 study). Clin Microbiol Infect. 2001; 7 (10): 532-42.
- 4. Bush K, Jacoby GA, Medeiros AA. A functional classification scheme for β-lactamases and its correlation with molecular structure. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1995; 39 (6): 1211-33.
- 5. Philippon A, Ariel G, Jacoby GA. Plasmid-determined AmpC-type β-lactamases. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002; 46 (1): 1-11.
- Arora S, Bal M. AmpC β-lactamase producing bacterial isolates from Kolkata hospital. Indian J Med Res. 2005; 122 (3): 224-3.
- 7. Livermore DM. Beta-lactamases in laboratory and clinical resistance. Clin Microbial Rev. 1995; 8 (4): 557-84.
- 8. Jacoby GA, Munoz-Price LS. Mechanisms of disease: The new beta lactamases. N Engl J Med. 2005; 352 (4): 380-91.
- 9. Stapleton PD, Shannon KP, French GL. Carabapenem resistance in *Escherichia coli* associated with plasmid mediated CMY-4 beta-lactamase production and loss of an outer membrane protein. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1999; 43 (5): 1206-10.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial disk diffusion susceptibility tests; approved standard, 25th ed. CLSI document M100-S25. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2010; 30.
- 11. Coudron PE, Moland ES, Thomson KS. Occurrence and detection of AmpC beta-lactamases among *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella*

- pneumoniae and Proteus mirabilis isolates at a veterans medical center. J Clin Microbiology. 2000; 38 (5): 1791-6.
- 12. Pitout JD, Reisbig MD, Venter EC, Church DL, Hanson ND. Modification of the double-disk test for detection of Enterobacteriaceae producing extended-spectrum and AmpC beta-lactamases. J Clin Microbiol. 2003; 41 (8): 3933-5.
- 13. Nasim K, Elsayed S, Pitout JD, Conly J, Church DL, Gregson DB. New method for laboratory detection of AmpC beta-lactamases in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J Clin Microbiol. 2004; 42 (10): 4799-802.
- 14. Abbot SL. *Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Citrobacter, Serratia, Plesiomonas* and other *Enterobacteriaceae*. In: Manual of Clinical Microbiology. 15th ed. Washington DC: ASM Press; 2015; 698-715.
- 15. Gupta G, Tak V, Mathur P. Detection of AmpC  $\beta$  lactamases in gram-negative bacteria. J Lab Physicians. 2014; 6 (1): 1-6.
- 16. Ding H, Yang Y, Lu Q, Wang Y, Chen Y, Deng L, Wang A, Deng Q, Zhang H, Wang C, Liu L. The prevalence of plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamases among clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from five children's hospitals in China. Euro J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2008; 27 (10): 915-21.
- 17. Hassan A, Usman J, Kaleem F, Omair M, Khalid A, Iqbal M. Frequency and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of AmpC beta-lactamase producing bacteria isolated from a tertiary care hospital of Rawalpindi, Pakistan. Pak J Med Sci. 2011; 27 (3): 578-81.
- 18. Mohamudha Parvaeen R, Harish BN, Parija SC. AmpC beta lactamases among Gram negative clinical isolates from a tertiary hospital, South India. Braz J Microbiol. 2010; 41 (3): 596-602.
- 19. Thomson KS. Controversies about extended-spectrum and AmpC beta-lactamases. Emerg Infect Dis. 2001; 7 (2): 333-6.
- 20. Manchanda V, Singh NP. Occurrence and detection of AmpC beta-lactamases among Gram-negative clinical isolates using a modified three-dimensional test at Guru Tegh Bahadur Hospital, Delhi, India. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2003; 51 (2): 415-8.
- 21. Singhal S, Mathur T, Khan S, Upadhyay DJ, Chugh S, Gaind R, Rattan A. Evalutaion of methods for AmpC beta-lactamase in Gram negative clinical isolates from tertiary care hospitals. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2005; 23 (2): 120-4.
- 22. Modi D, Patel D, Patel S, Jain M, Bhatt S, Vegad MM. Comparison of Various Methods for the Detection of Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase in *Klebsiella Pneumoniae* Isolated from Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Ahmedabad. Natl J Med Res. 2012; 2(3): 348-53.
- 23. Dunne WM, Hardin DJ. Use of Several Inducer and Substrate Antibiotic Combinations in a Disk Approximation Assay Format To Screen for AmpC Induction in Patient Isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter* spp., *Citrobacter* spp., and *Serratia* spp. J Clin Microbiol. 2005; 43 (12): 5945-9.
- 24. Tan TY, Ng LSY, He J, Koh TH, Hsu LY. Evaluation of Screening Methods To Detect Plasmid-Mediated AmpC in *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Proteus mirabilis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009; 53 (1): 146-9.
- 25. El-Hady SA, Adel LA. Occurrence and detection of AmpC β-lactamases among *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates from patients at Ain Shams University Hospital. Egypt J Med Hum Genet. 2015; 16 (3): 239-44.
- 26. Delgado-Valverde M, Sojo-Dorado J, Pascual Á, Rodríguez-Baño J. Clinical management of infections caused by multidrug-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. Ther Adv Infect Dis. 2013; 1 (2): 49-69.