

In-silico Immunomodelling of SARS-CoV-2

Amirhosein Maali^{1, 2*10}, Hossein Teimouri³, Mehdi Azad⁴, Shahin Amiri^{5, 1}, Setare Adibzadeh^{5, 1}

¹Student Research Committee, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran; ²Department of Medical Biotechnology, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran; ³Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran; ⁴Faculty of Allied Medicine, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran; ⁵Department of Medical Biotechnology, Biotechnology Research Center, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

Original Article

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, Bioinformatics, T cell epitopes, B cell epitopes

Received: 04 Dec. 2020 Received in revised form: 05 May. 2021 Accepted: 24 Apr. 2021 DOI: 10.52547/JoMMID.9.2.88

*Correspondence

Email: Maali.amirhosein@gmail.com A_maali@pasteur.ac.ir Tel: +989379865108 Fax: +982833338034

© Th	e Au	thor((s)
\bigcirc	(\mathbf{i})	3	
\sim	BY	NC	ND

INTRODUCTION

At the moment, the pandemic of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [responsible for coronavirus infectious disease of 2019 (COVID-19)] is a considerable challenge facing infectious medicine [1]. This positive-sense single-strand RNA virus, a member of the Coronaviridae family, has high invasion power and rapid transmission rate via droplets and aerosols. SARS-CoV-2 has more than 123 million confirmed cases and more than 2.7 million mortality worldwide, of Jan. 2021 as [https://covid19.who.int] [2].

Cellular immunity plays the primary role in the inhibition of viral infections. Antigen-presenting cells (APCs) with antigen proteasomal cleavage presents digested peptides to T helper lymphocytes via MHC class I [3]. Also, the humoral immune system plays a

Introduction: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a positive-sense single-strand RNA virus belonging to the Coronaviridae family, responsible for coronavirus infectious disease 2019 (COVID-19) with the rapid transmission. This study aimed to characterize and compare SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV major viral proteins and predict antigen proteasomal cleavage patterns, MHC class I processing and presentation, and B T-cell and anti-inflammatory epitopes. Methods: The amino acid sequences of spike surface (S) glycoprotein, membrane (M) glycoprotein, envelop (E) protein, and nucleocapsid (N) phosphoprotein was obtained from NCBI. The sequences were aligned by MEGA 7.0 and modeled by SWISS-MODEL. The proteasomal cleavage pattern, MHC class I processing, and T-cell epitopes were predicted via IEDB analysis and EPISOFT. The B-cell epitopes were predicted by BepiPred 2.0. Also, the prediction of anti-inflammatory epitopes was performed by AntiInflam. Results: Two major antigen proteins, S glycoprotein and M glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2, respectively, showed 26.57% and 20.59% less efficiency in proteasomal cleavage and presentation to MHC class I, comparing SARS-CoV. There were fewer B-cell predicted epitopes in SARS-CoV-2, comparing SARS-CoV. The anti-inflammatory properties of SARS-CoV-2 S glycoprotein and N protein were higher than SARS-CoV. Conclusion: It seems that the evolution of SARS-CoV-2 is on the way to reducing antigen-presenting to MHC class I and escaping cellular immunity. Moreover, the predicted hotspot epitopes potentially can be used to induce adaptive cellular immunity against SARS-CoV-2. Besides, SARS-CoV-2 appears to be less immunopathogenic than SARS-CoV due to its higher anti-inflammatory proteins.

role in adaptive immunity against viruses [4]. Unlike conventional coronaviruses, e.g., SARS-CoV, the SARS-CoV-2 shows more intensity in transmission and immune response failure.

Bioinformatics has provided valuable tools to simulate the evolution mechanism of SARS-CoV-2. Sequencing of the SARS-CoV-2 genome in the early days of the COVID-19 pandemic has enabled scientists to predict the probable protein and nucleoprotein structures of SARS-CoV-2 for proteomics-based therapeutic and prophylaxis approaches. SARS-CoV-2 might have evolved in antigen presentation to the adaptive immune system in the way of surviving and escaping the immune system. This evolution may be responsible for the alteration of the presented antigen [5].

Maali st al.

In this study, we analyzed the protein homology, proteasomal cleavage pattern, major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I presentation, and B- and T-cells epitopes of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 for spike (S) surface glycoprotein, membrane (M) glycoprotein, envelop (E) protein and nucleocapsid (N) phosphoprotein with different bioinformatics tools.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Proteome Sequences and Alignment. The amino acid sequences of S glycoprotein, M glycoprotein, E protein, and N phosphoprotein in SARS-CoV (Acc. No. NC004718) and SARS-CoV-2 (Acc. No. MT106053) were retrieved from the "Nucleotide" Genbank database. The open reading frame of S glycoprotein, M glycoprotein, E protein, and N phosphoprotein was aligned by MEGA ver.7.

In-silico Characterization of Viral Proteins. After approval of the difference in SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 proteomic sequence, the proteins mentioned were characterized via SWISS-MODEL (Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Biozentrum) [6-9]. The comparative 3D structures, table of comparison with Non-redundant set of PBD structure (adjusted by normalized QMEAN4 score and residual size), and Ramachandran plots were plotted to estimate the individual protein structure model variation between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins.

Prediction of Proteasomal Cleavage Pattern and T Cell-Related Potential Epitope. For prediction of proteasomal cleavage and MHC class I processing of viral antigens, the MHC class I binding predictions was made on 2/26/2020 using the IEDB analysis tool [10], which combines predictions from ANN aka NetMHC (4.0) [11-13], SMM [14], and Comblib [15] [https://www.iedb.org/]. The results were categorized by 9-meric peptides as the -digestion pattern. HLA allele reference set of IEDB server was used as the most frequent alleles for prediction. For each 9-meric peptide, Proteasome Score, Transporter Associated with Antigen Processing (TAP) Score, MHC Score, Processing Score, Total Score, and MHC-IC50 (nM) (Inhibitory Concentration 50) were reported. Then, all results were sorted by "Total Score. Top-ten 9-meric peptides were inserted into EPISOPT (Epitope Vaccine Optimization server). EPISOPT (http://bio.med.ucm.es/episopt.html) predicts epitope HLA I (MHC class I) binding profiles and population protection computes (PPC). It also identifies minimal sets of epitopes that reach a target PPC for 5 distinct user-selected ethnic groups [16]. The EPISOPT results report PPC score and MHC class I binding profile. The statistical analysis was performed by EXCEL ver.2019. The efficiency was calculated as follow:

Efficiency of proteosomal cleavage

total score of SARSCoV

 $\times 100$

Prediction of B Cell-Related Potential Epitope. Continuous B-Cell epitope predictions were made by BepiPred-2.0

(http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/BepiPred/). "The BepiPred-2.0 server predicts the linear B-cell epitopes from a protein sequence, using a Random Forest algorithm trained on epitopes and non-epitope amino acids determined from crystal structures [17].

Prediction of Anti-inflammatory Peptides. We used the AntiInFlam server [metagenomics.iiserb.ac.in/antiinflam] to predict the antinflammatory property of 9-meric epitopes of S glycoprotein, M glycoprotein, E protein, and N phosphoprotein proteins (from step 2.3) in SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. Prediction of the anti-inflammatory epitopes allows the users to predict the antiinflammatory nature of the multiple variants of the query peptide (substitution of each amino acid of the peptide with other amino acids), and thus, helps assess the position-specific effects of each amino acid in modulating the anti-inflammatory activity of the peptide.

RESULTS

Minor Differences in Protein Homology of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. There were minor differences within the structure of homologous S glycoprotein, M glycoprotein, E protein, and N phosphoprotein of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 1). The filled 3D-structures showed different exposure patterns, implying that SARS-CoV-2 viral protein sequences directly affect 3Dstructure and surface exposure patterns, which leads to different behavioral patterns compared to SARS-CoV. Minor differences in Ramachandran plots dots confirm minor (but not major) homologous structural variations in SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. Additionally, the comparison of modeled proteins with a non-redundant PBD structure set (Fig. 1b) confirmed the comparative results.

Difference in Proteasomal Cleavage Pattern and MHC Class I Presentation of T-cells Epitopes of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. The IEDB analysis showed variations in the proteasomal cleavage pattern of 9-meric peptides of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. The top-ten 9meric peptides of each viral protein were compared via the "total score" index. The S glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 exhibited 26.57% less efficiency in antigen proteasomal cleavage and presentation to MHC class I, compared to SARS-CoV S glycoprotein. The other giant surfaceexposed protein, the SARS-CoV-2 M protein, had 20.59% less efficiency in proteasomal and presentation to MHC class I than SARS-CoV M glycoprotein (Table 1). Unlike the above proteins, the E protein and N phosphoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV showed a different pattern in antigen proteasomal cleavage and presentation to MHC class I. There was a 0.08% more efficiency in the cleavage of the E protein of SARS-CoV and its presentation to MHC class I than SARS-CoV-2. Also, proteasomal cleavage and MHC class I presentation of N phosphoprotein of SARS-CoV were 15.19% more efficient than SARS-CoV-2.

According to our results, "LTDEMIAQY" (total score: 1.71, PPC: 0.0423), "CVADYSVLY" (total score: 1.16, PPC: 0.2654) and "TSNQVAVLY" (total score: 0.94, PPC: 0.2724) were top-three major 9-meric presented peptides in S glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2. In addition, "ATSRTLSYY" (total score: 0.92, PPC: 0.0159) and "YANRNRFLY" (total score: 0.51, PPC: 0.0140) were the top-two hotspot 9-meric presented peptides in M glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2.

Difference in B-cells Epitopes of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. The results of the prediction of potential B-cells epitopes via BepiPred V2.0 showed that S glycoprotein and M glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 contained higher-potent B-cell epitopes than SARS-CoV, while E protein and N phosphoprotein of SARS-CoV contained higher-potent B-cell epitopes than SARS-CoV contained higher-potent B-cell epitopes than SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 2). Also, SWISS-MODEL structure prediction results approved that the predicted epitopes had surface exposure.

Differences in Anti-inflammatory Properties of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-COV Epitopes. Scanning of four major viral proteins in SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-COV, i.e., S glycoprotein, M glycoprotein, E protein, and N phosphoprotein proteins, showed that the 9-meric epitopes of SARS-CoV-2 had higher anti-inflammatory properties in S glycoprotein (264 9-meric epitopes in SARS-CoV-2, comparing the 77 9-meric epitopes in SARS-CoV) and N phosphoprotein (224 9-meric epitopes in SARS-CoV-2, comparing 54 9-meric epitopes in SARS-CoV). Despite the two above proteins, analysis of the anti-inflammatory property of the 9-meric M glycoprotein epitopes in SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV showed that this protein has a slightly more antiinflammatory property in SARS-CoV (29 9-meric antiinflammatory epitopes), comparing SARS-CoV-2 (23 9meric anti-inflammatory epitopes). The E protein analysis in these two viruses also showed the same result (7 9-meric anti-inflammatory epitopes) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

SARS-CoV-2, responsible for coronavirus infectious disease 2019 (COVID-19), has evolved to a higher transmission potential than SARS-CoV. Bioinformatic tools have equipped us to realize the molecular evolution pattern of SARS-CoV-2.

After the virus enters the host body, the antigenpresenting cells (APCs) capture them and start the

proteasomal cleavage process of viral proteins. After antigen digestion, 9-meric peptides are presented to Tcytotoxic lymphocytes in the cellular immunity system via major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I. Cellular immunity leads to the elimination of infected cells from the host body. A different viral protein digestion pattern leads to different MHC class I presentations and different immune responses to viruses. Spike (S) surface glycoprotein, membrane (M) glycoprotein, envelop (E) protein, and nucleocapsid (N) phosphoprotein are major structural proteins in SARS-CoV-2. Our results authenticate a difference in proteasomal cleavage pattern of S glycoprotein, M glycoprotein, E protein, and N phosphoprotein in SARS-CoV-2 comparing to SARS-CoV. The results showed that two major antigen proteins of SARS-CoV-2, S glycoprotein and M glycoprotein, had 26.57% and 20.59% fewer efficiency in antigen proteasomal cleavage and presentation to MHC class I, respectively, comparing to SARS-CoV. Thus, the cellular immune system is more powerless in the elimination of SARS-CoV-2 in comparison with SARS-CoV.

Our results show a minor structural difference in row alignment of S glycoprotein, M glycoprotein, E protein, and N phosphoprotein. Also, SWISS-MODEL results revealed that the minor difference in row homology leads to minor changes in the 3D structure of these viral proteins. On the other hand, various studies established the role of humoral immunity against viral infections [18]. Thus, SARS-CoV-2 may have evolved to escape from the humoral immune system. The exposed B-cell epitopes of SARS-CoV-2 were predicted by BepiPred and compared to SARS-CoV. The results showed that S glycoprotein and M glycoprotein (most exposed proteins) of SARS-CoV contain much more potent epitopes. So, it seems that the humoral immune system is probably more involved in response to SARS-CoV than SARS-CoV-2.

IEDB results predicted "LTDEMIAQY" 9-meric as the highest scored cleaved peptide (total score: 1.71). "LTDEMIAOY" binds to different MHC class I alleles (A0207, B1508, B1516, B3801, B5702, and B5801) CPP 0423. Thus, we suggest that the "LTDEMIAQY" linear peptide can potentially be used as a peptide antigen vaccine to induce cellular immunity prophylaxis. Subsequent research can be conducted on in-vitro stimulation of T-helper lymphocytes by "LTDEMIAQY" peptide or generation of induced "LTDEMIAQY" presenting cells. In a study, the potent epitopes for E SARS-CoV-2 were protein of investigated computationally to develop a multivalent vaccine against COVID-19.

Provide Figure Score	
TODDMLANY 1.38 1.21 0.63 2.59 1.95 4.3 0.2323 B1516 B580 ASSEVAVLY 1.47 1.41 -1.64 2.88 1.24 43.5 0.0056 B1516 B50 STERVINKY 1.48 1.38 -1.74 2.86 1.12 54.5 0.2664 C070 STERVINKY 1.51 1.22 -2.09 2.71 0.63 122.1 0.0000 B1515 D16 0.63 122.1 0.0000 B1517 D16 D17 D18 D266 D110 A0010 B1517 D18 D0002 D18 D0017 D18 D0017 D18 D193 D0076 D110 D018 D117 D117 D14 D29 D17 D017 D017 D12 D12 D018 D117 D13 D0470 D110 D17 D14 D14 D12 D0470 D110 D15 D16 D12 D0470 D110 D17 D16 D12 D16 <td< th=""><th>ounding profile</th></td<>	ounding profile
Yes ASSEVAVLY 1.47 1.41 -1.64 2.88 1.24 43.5 0.0056 H150 BI Yes ASSEVAVLY 1.48 1.38 -1.74 2.86 1.12 54.5 0.0264 A1101 A680 B TSSMRGVYY 1.45 1.27 -2.09 2.71 0.63 122.1 0.0000 -5 TSGNROVYY 1.51 1.22 -2.11 2.24 0.054 0.289 0.2756 A1101 A6801 B ATSTGNYNY 1.38 1.26 -2.11 2.24 0.37 242.9 0.0000 B1517 B HTSSMROVY 1.37 1.2 2.33 2.57 0.23 0.070 A1101 B Mean ± STDEV 1.42:0.07 0.06 2.07:0.65 2.79:0.10 0.644 4 0.12 VOPCCKGY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.0254 A1101 A6001 B KVDFCKGY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.48 0.35 134.2 0.0622	801 C0702
Torugge VADYSVLY 1.48 1.38 -1.74 2.86 1.12 54.5 0.2654 A1101 A600 B COTO TSSNRGVYY 1.45 1.27 -2.09 2.71 0.63 122.1 0.000 - - STGNYNYKY 1.51 1.22 -2.11 2.73 0.62 128.9 0.000 B151 TODLFLPTY 1.52 1.24 -2.39 2.276 0.37 242.9 0.000 B151 TSMRGVY 1.36 1.4 -2.9 2.77 0.13 791.3 0.0470 A1101 A600 B Nem ± STDEV 1.36 1.4 -2.9 2.77 0.13 791.3 0.0470 A1101 B Mean ± STDEV 1.42± 0.07 1.02 2.07± 0.65 2.79± 0.10 0.64± 235.51=264.2 0.0402 B5702B VTPCGKGY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.42 1.71 5.2 0.0423 B1501B KTSVDCNMY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.42	B1517
Total CADYSVIY 1.48 1.73 2.26 1.12 34.5 0.2654 CC070 TSGNYNY 1.45 1.27 2.09 2.71 0.63 112.1 0.0000 0 STGNYNYY 1.38 1.22 -2.11 2.24 0.54 128.9 0.02756 A1101 A6801 B ATSTGNYNY 1.38 1.22 -2.11 2.264 0.54 128.1 0.0000 B1518 HTSSMRGYY 1.37 1.2 -2.33 2.57 0.23 0.0000 B1518 RYDECKGY 1.3 1.31 2.28 2.67 0.12 6238 0.0028 B15178 RYDECKGY 1.36 1.4 -2.9 2.77 0.13 791.3 0.4070 A1101 B508 RYDECKGY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.264 A1101 A6901 B CADYSVLY 1.47 1.3 -1.83 2.77 0.94 68.1 0.2724 A1101 B1508 B102	B1508 B1517
TSNNERVY 1.45 1.27 2.09 2.71 0.03 122.1 0.0000	702
FORMUMEY 151 122 2.11 2.73 0.62 128.9 0.2756 Al101 A6801 B ATSTGNYNY 1.38 1.26 -2.11 2.64 0.54 128.1 0.000 B151 TQDLPLPY 1.32 1.24 -2.39 2.76 0.37 242.9 0.0193 AA0301 B HTSSMRGVY 1.31 1.24 -2.39 2.76 0.023 215.7 0.0000 B1517 B RVDFCGKGY 1.36 1.4 2.9 2.77 -0.13 791.3 0.0470 A1101 B5 Mean ± STDEV 1.422.007 0.08 -2.07±0.65 2.79±0.10 0.64 4 0.12 CVAJYSVLY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.264 A1101 A6801 B SANNCTEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.35 134.2 0.0628 A1101 B1508 B SANNCTEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.35 134.2 0.0628 A1101 B1508 B15	
Vert ATSTONVEY 1.38 1.26 -2.11 2.64 0.54 128.1 0.0000 B1517 TQDLFLPFY 1.52 1.24 -2.39 2.76 0.37 242.9 0.0193 A0301 B2 TSWNGVY 1.33 1.37 2.8 2.67 0.012 623.8 0.000 B1517 KTSVDCMMY 1.3 1.4 2.2 2.77 0.13 791.3 0.0470 A1101 B Mean ± STDEV 1.42±0.07 0.08 -2.07±0.65 2.79±0.10 0.64 4 0.12 CVADYSVLY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.2654 A1101 A6801 B CVADYSVLY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.2654 A1101 B1508 B B3800 SNNCTEY 1.11 1.3 -1.63 2.77 0.94 68.1 0.2724 A1101 B1508 B C000 CADYOLVY 1.47 1.3 -1.62 2.48 0.88	B1516 C0702
TODELPEY 152 124 -2.39 2.76 0.37 242.9 0.0193 A0201 B HTSSMRGVY 1.37 1.2 -2.33 2.57 0.23 215.7 0.0000 B151 RTSWDCMY 1.31 1.2 -2.33 2.57 0.013 791.3 0.0470 A1101 B Was STDEV 1.42 -2.9 2.77 -0.13 791.3 0.0470 A1101 B Was STDEV 1.42 0.07 2.07±0.05 2.79±0.10 0.64 4 0.12 CVADYSVLY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.2654 A1101 A6801 B SANNCTFEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.35 134.2 0.0662 A1101 B1508 B SANNCTFEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.35 134.2 0.0682 A1101 B1508 B151 B2 SANNCTFEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.35 134.2 0.0682 A110	516
Y HTSSNRGYY 1.37 1.2 -2.33 2.57 0.23 215.7 0.0000 H515 KTSVDCNWY 1.3 1.37 -2.8 2.67 -0.12 663.8 0.0028 B1517 B2 Mean ± STDEV 1.42±0.07 0.08 -2.07±0.65 2.79±0.10 0.64± 23551±2.642 0.0890± - CVADYSVLY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.2624 A1001 B508 B1 B5702 B2 CVADYSVLY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.2624 A1101 A6601 B3 B5702 B2 TSNQVAVLY 1.47 1.3 -1.83 2.77 0.94 68.1 0.2724 A1101 B1508 B1 B1570 B2 B5702 B2 C070 C070 <td>B2701</td>	B2701
Image: Part of the second se	516
Image of the stand of	B2702
Mean ± STDEV 1.42± 0.07 1.30+ 0.08 -2.07± 0.65 2.79± 0.10 0.64+ 0.64 235.51=264.2 4 0.0809+ 0.12 LTDEMIAQY 1.21 1.21 -0.72 2.42 1.71 5.2 0.0423 BS702 B1 BS702 B1 CVADYSVLY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.2654 A1101 A6801 B C0707 TSNQVAVLY 1.47 1.3 -1.83 2.77 0.94 68.1 0.2724 A1101 B1508 B1 C0707 SANNCTFEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.35 134-2 0.0682 A1101 B1500 B1507 B1 SANNCTFEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.35 134-2 0.0682 A1101 B1500 B1507 B1 NIDGYFKIY 1.47 1.31 -2.94 2.78 -0.16 874.3 0.129 A0207 A030 KTSVDCTWY 1.26 1.31 -2.98 2.8 0.18 953.3 0.0000 B1507 B1 Mean ± STDEV 1.36± 0.13 0.129 2.85± 0.17 0.	B1508
Mean 3 NDev 1-42:007 0.08 2-07:000 0.64 4 0.12 VENT LTDEMIAQY 1.21 1.21 -0.72 2.42 1.71 5.2 0.0423 A0207 B1508 B1 B5702 B2 VENT CVADYSVLY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.2654 A1101 A6801 B B5702 B2 VENT TSNQVAVLY 1.47 1.3 -1.83 2.77 0.94 68.1 0.2724 A0207 A0205 A VATGAAAYY 1.24 1.24 -1.6 2.48 0.35 134.2 0.0682 A1101 B1508 B1 C0707 SANNCTFEY 1.18 1.3 -2.12 2.25 0.34 166 0.0140 B1508 B1516 B2 RVDECKGY 1.36 1.4 -2.9 2.77 -0.16 587.3 0.0028 B1517 B2 NDGYFKIY 1.47 1.31 -2.94 2.78 -0.16 587.3 0.0028 B1517 B2 NSFTRGVYY 1.45 1.36 -2.73 2.65	
Image: state of the s	
Topological Liberaikage Lili Lili <thlili< th=""> Lili Lili<td>B1516 B3801</td></thlili<>	B1516 B3801
Form CVADYSVLY 1.51 1.38 -1.74 2.89 1.16 54.5 0.2654 A1101 A6801 B C070 TSNQVAVLY 1.47 1.3 -1.83 2.77 0.94 68.1 0.2724 A1101 B1508 B C070 WTAGAAAYY 1.24 1.24 -1.6 2.48 0.88 40.1 0.0195 A1020 A025 A SANNCTEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.35 134.2 0.0682 A1101 B1508 B CNDFLGVY 1.5 1.06 -2.22 2.56 0.34 166 0.0140 B1508 B1516 B2 NDGYFKIY 1.47 1.31 -2.94 2.77 -0.13 791.3 0.0070 A1101 B NDGYFKIY 1.47 1.36 -2.98 2.8 -0.16 538.7 0.0028 B1517 B2 NBTGYTY 1.46 1.36 -0.92 4.82 0.0159 A0207 B150 YSNRNRFLY 1.18 1.3 -1.25 2.49 1.24 17.7 0.0167 B	B5801
Figure 1 CVADISTIT 1.11 1.13 1.14 2.85 1.10 3.4.5 0.204 CC070: C	B1508 B1517
Figure 1 TSNQVAVLY 1.47 1.3 -1.83 2.77 0.94 68.1 0.2724 A1101 B1508 B: C000 WTAGAAAYY 1.24 1.24 -1.6 2.48 0.88 40.1 0.0195 A0202 A0205 A SANNCTFEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.38 134.2 0.0682 A1101 B1508 B: 0.0140 B1508 Bi516 B: B380 CNDPFLGVY 1.5 1.06 -2.22 2.56 0.34 166 0.0140 B1508 Bi516 B: B350 B: B350 B: B350 B: NDGYFKIY 1.47 1.31 -2.94 2.78 -0.16 538.7 0.0028 B157 B: B350 NDSTRGVYY 1.45 1.36 -2.98 2.8 -0.18 953.3 0.0000 B1507 B: B350 Mean ± STDEV 1.36±0.13 .0.91 2.38 1.47 8.2 0.0159 A0207 B1500 VSTNRTMY 1.65 1.13 -0.91 2.38 1.47 8.2 0.0159 B3508 Washing 1.34 -1.68 2.65	702
Image in the information in the informating thenein there information in the information information in	B1516 B5701
VTAGAAAYY 1.24 1.24 -1.6 2.48 0.88 40.1 0.0195 Ad2022 Ad205 Au B380 B380 SANNCTFEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.35 134.2 0.0682 A1101 B150 CNDPLGVY 1.5 1.06 -2.22 2.56 0.34 166 0.0140 B1508 B1516 B RVDFCGKGY 1.36 1.4 -2.9 2.77 -0.13 791.3 0.0470 A1101 B150 NDGYFKIY 1.47 1.31 -2.94 2.78 -0.16 538.7 0.028 B1517 B2 NDGYFKIY 1.45 1.36 -2.98 2.8 -0.18 953.3 0.000 B1508 B151 Mean ± STDEV 1.36±0.13 0.91 2.38 1.47 8.2 0.0159 A0207 B1500 ATSRTLSYY 1.26 1.13 -9.91 2.38 1.47 8.2 0.0167 B1517 B2 YSNRNFLY 1.18 1.3 -1.25 2.49 1.24 1.70 0.167 B	702
Verture Value <	A6802 B1508
SANNCTFEY 1.18 1.3 -2.13 2.48 0.35 134.2 0.0682 A1101 B1500 RVDFCGKGY 1.5 1.06 -2.22 2.56 0.34 166 0.0140 B1508 B1516 B3 RVDFCGKGY 1.36 1.4 -2.9 2.77 -0.13 791.3 0.0470 A1101 B150 NIDGYFKIY 1.47 1.31 -2.94 2.78 -0.16 874.3 0.1291 A0207 A30 NSFTRGVYY 1.45 1.36 -2.98 2.8 -0.16 874.3 0.000 B1508 B1 Mean ± STDEV 1.36±0.13 1.29± -2.18±0.73 2.65±0.17 0.47± 362.57± 0.0860 ± YSNRNRFLY 1.18 1.3 -1.25 2.49 1.24 1.7 0.0167 B1517 B4 YSNRNFLY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B500 VATSRTLSYY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0167 B150	801
VICUATION CNDPFLGVY 1.5 1.06 -2.22 2.56 0.34 166 0.0140 B1508 B1516 B3 RVDFCGKGY 1.36 1.4 -2.9 2.77 -0.13 791.3 0.0470 A1101 B1 NIDGYFKIY 1.47 1.31 -2.94 2.78 -0.16 874.3 0.1291 A0207 A030 NSFTRGVYY 1.45 1.36 -2.98 2.8 -0.18 953.3 0.0000 B1508 B150 B1 Mean ± STDEV 1.36± 0.13 0.01 -2.18± 0.73 2.65± 0.17 0.67 384.39 0.10 GTDSGFAAY 1.26 1.13 -0.91 2.38 1.47 8.2 0.0167 B1517 B2 YSNRNRELY 1.18 1.3 -1.25 2.49 1.24 17.7 0.0167 B1502 B1502 VSNRNRELY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1502 VSNRNRELY 1.37 1.41 -3.61 2.78 -0.43 4085.3	508 B5801
Image: state of the s	B3801 B5702
NIDGYFRIY 1.47 1.31 -2.94 2.78 -0.16 874.3 0.1291 A0207 A030 KTSVDCTMY 1.26 1.31 -2.73 2.57 -0.16 538.7 0.0028 B1517 B2 NSFTRGVYY 1.45 1.36 -2.98 2.8 -0.18 953.3 0.0000 B1508 B1 Mean ± STDEV 1.36±0.13 0.10 -2.18±0.73 2.65±0.17 0.47± 362.57± 0.0860 ± YSNRNRFLY 1.18 1.3 -0.91 2.38 1.47 8.2 0.0159 A0207 B1500 YSNRNRFLY 1.18 1.3 -1.25 2.49 1.24 17.7 0.0167 B1517 B2 ATSRTLSY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B0203 A0 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1500 WIMLQFAY 1.41 1.27 -3.17 2.66 0.49 1472 0.0000 -<	B1508
KTSVDCTMY 1.26 1.31 -2.73 2.57 -0.16 538.7 0.0028 B1517 B2 NSFTRGVYY 1.45 1.36 -2.98 2.8 -0.18 953.3 0.0000 B1508 B1 Mean ± STDEV 1.36±0.13 0.10 -2.18±0.73 2.65±0.17 0.47± 362.57± 0.0860 ± YSNRNRLY 1.26 1.13 -0.91 2.38 1.47 8.2 0.0159 A0207 B1500 YSNRNRLY 1.18 1.3 -1.25 2.49 1.24 17.7 0.0167 B1517 B2 ATSRTLSY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B5800 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1500 WIMLLQFAY 1.37 1.41 -3.61 2.78 -0.83 4085.3 0.0159 A0203 A4 GFGAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359 A0301	301 B1508
Image: NSFTRGVYY 1.45 1.36 -2.98 2.8 -0.18 953.3 0.0000 B1508 B1 Mean ± STDEV 1.36± 0.13 0.10 -2.18± 0.73 2.65± 0.17 0.47± 362.57± 0.0860 ± YSNRNRELY 1.18 1.3 -0.91 2.38 1.47 8.2 0.015 A0207 B1500 YSNRNRELY 1.18 1.3 -1.25 2.49 1.24 17.7 0.0167 B1517 B4 ATSRTLSYY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B580 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B150 WIMLQFAY 1.37 1.41 -3.61 2.78 -0.83 4085.3 0.0159 A0203 A1 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359 A0301 B1517 B2 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0255	B2702
Mean ± STDEV 1.36±0.13 1.29± 0.10 -2.18±0.73 2.65±0.17 0.47± 0.67 384.39 384.39 0.00 GTDSGFAAY 1.26 1.13 -0.91 2.38 1.47 8.2 0.0159 A0207 B1500 YSNRNRFLY 1.18 1.3 -1.25 2.49 1.24 17.7 0.0167 B1517 B4 ATSRTLSYY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B5800 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1500 WIMLQFAY 1.41 1.27 -3.17 2.68 -0.49 1472 0.0004 B1508 B151 WIMLQFAY 1.36 1.41 -4.24 3.1 -1.13 17265.1 0.0054 B1508 B151 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359 A0301 B1517 B2 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359	B1516
Image: Problem 1 Image: Problem 2 Image: Problem 2<	
Y G10SGFAAY 1.26 1.13 -0.91 2.38 1.47 8.2 0.0159 A0207 B150 YSRNRFLY 1.18 1.3 -1.25 2.49 1.24 17.7 0.0167 B1517 B4 ATSRTLSYY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B5800 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1500 VATSRTLSY 1.41 1.27 -3.17 2.68 -0.49 1472 0.0000 B1503 WIMLLQFAY 1.37 1.41 -3.61 2.78 -0.83 4085.3 0.0159 A0203 A0 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359 A0301 B1517 B2 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.28 -4.18 2.64 -1.54 1504.6 0.0000 - YNRYRIGNY 1.36 1.28 -4.18 2.66 0.92 48.2 0.0132± <td></td>	
YSNRNRLY 1.18 1.3 -1.25 2.49 1.24 11.7 0.0167 B1517 B2 ATSRTLSYY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B580 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1508 IVGLMWLSY 1.41 1.27 -3.17 2.68 -0.49 1472 0.0000 B1507 ACFVLAAVY 1.69 1.41 -3.61 2.78 -0.83 4085.3 0.0159 A0203 A0 ACFVLAAVY 1.69 1.41 -4.24 3.1 -1.13 17265.1 0.0054 B1508 B1517 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359 A0202 A0202 WLSYFVASF 1.38 1.11 -3.99 2.49 -1.5 9708.7 0.0225 A0202 A0202 WLSYFVASF 1.38 1.27± 0.00±1.27 2.66±0.20 -0.34± 15044.6 0.00	508 B5801
Vert ATSRILSYY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B580 VATSRILSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1500 VATSRILSY 1.41 1.27 -3.17 2.68 -0.49 1472 0.0000 B1502 B1500 WIMLLQFAY 1.37 1.41 -3.61 2.78 -0.83 4085.3 0.0159 A0203 A0 ACFVLAAVY 1.69 1.41 -4.24 3.1 -1.13 17265.1 0.0054 B1508 B1517 B2 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359 A0301 B1517 B2 WLSYFVASF 1.38 1.11 -3.99 2.49 -1.5 9708.7 0.0225 A0202 A020 -0.34 ± 5864.63 ± 0.0132 ± 0.01 -0.01 -0.01 -0.01 -0.01 -0.01 -0.01 -0.000 -0.01 -0.01 -0.01 -0.01 -0.01 -0.000	B4402
VATSRILSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1500 INGLMWLSY 1.41 1.27 -3.17 2.68 -0.49 1472 0.0000 B1502 B1500 WIMLLQFAY 1.37 1.41 -3.61 2.78 -0.83 4085.3 0.0159 A0203 A0 ACFVLAAVY 1.69 1.41 -4.24 3.1 -1.13 17265.1 0.0054 B1508 B1517 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359 A0301 B1517 B2 WLSYFVASF 1.38 1.11 -3.99 2.49 -1.5 9708.7 0.0225 A0202 A020 YNRYRIGNY 1.36 1.28 -4.18 2.64 -1.54 1504.6 0.0000 - Mean ± STDEV 1.39± 0.16 0.11 -3.00± 1.27 2.66± 0.20 -0.34 ± 5864.63± 0.0132± - YANRNRFLY 1.18 1.31 -1.98 2.49 0.51 95.6 <td>801 500 G0102</td>	801 500 G0102
IVGLMWLSY 1.41 1.27 -3.17 2.68 -0.49 1472 0.0000 B150. WIMLLQFAY 1.37 1.41 -3.61 2.78 -0.83 4085.3 0.0159 A0203 Ad ACFVLAAVY 1.69 1.41 -4.24 3.1 -1.13 17265.1 0.0054 B1508 B151 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359 A0301 B1517 B2 WLSYFVASF 1.38 1.11 -3.99 2.49 -1.5 9708.7 0.0225 A0202 A020 YNRYRIGNY 1.36 1.28 -4.18 2.64 -1.54 15044.6 0.0000 - Mean ± STDEV 1.39± 0.16 1.27± -3.00± 1.27 2.66± 0.20 -0.34± 5864.63± 0.0132± 0.0132± 0.11 -4.18 2.49 0.51 95.6 0.0140 B4402 VATSRTLSY 1.18 1.31 -1.98 2.49 0.51 95.6 0.0140 B4402	508 C0102
$ {\bf Y} {\bf $	502
VINC ACFVLAAVY 1.69 1.41 -4.24 3.1 -1.13 17/265.1 0.0054 B1508 B151 SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359 A0301 B1517 B2 WLSYFVASF 1.38 1.11 -3.99 2.49 -1.5 9708.7 0.0225 A0202 A0202 YNRYRIGNY 1.36 1.28 -4.18 2.64 -1.54 15044.6 0.0000 - Mean ± STDEV 1.39±0.16 1.27± -3.00±1.27 2.66±0.20 -0.34± 5864.63± 0.0132± 0.01 YANRNRFLY 1.18 1.31 -1.98 2.49 0.51 95.6 0.0140 B4402 VGLMWLSY 1.55 1.26 -3.08 2.81 -0.27 1199.7 0.0000 - AGDSGFAAY 1.24 1.16 -2.72 2.4 -0.32 525.6 0.0000 B1502 B1502 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 <	A6802
Y SGFAAYNRY 1.65 1.17 -4 2.81 -1.19 10050.5 0.0359 A0301 B1517 B2 B4402 WLSYFVASF 1.38 1.11 -3.99 2.49 -1.5 9708.7 0.0225 A0202 A0202 YNRYRIGNY 1.36 1.28 -4.18 2.64 -1.54 15044.6 0.0000 - Mean ± STDEV 1.39± 0.16 1.27± 0.11 -3.00± 1.27 2.66± 0.20 -0.34 ± 1.14 5864.63± 6629.1 0.0132± 0.01 0.01 YANRNRFLY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B5800 YANRNRFLY 1.18 1.31 -1.98 2.49 0.51 95.6 0.0140 B4402 LVGLMWLSY 1.55 1.26 -3.08 2.81 -0.27 1199.7 0.0000 - AGDSGFAAY 1.24 1.16 -2.72 2.4 -0.32 525.6 0.0000 B1502 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33<	51 / B2/02
Model Model <th< td=""><td>B2/01 B2/02</td></th<>	B2/01 B2/02
NOTE WESTEVASE 1.38 1.11 -3.99 2.49 -1.3 9706.7 0.0225 RO202 R020 YNRYRIGNY 1.36 1.28 -4.18 2.64 -1.54 15044.6 0.0000 - Mean ± STDEV 1.39±0.16 1.27± 0.11 -3.00±1.27 2.66±0.20 -0.34± 1.14 5864.63± 6629.1 0.0132± 0.01 ATSRTLSYY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B5800 YANRNRFLY 1.18 1.31 -1.98 2.49 0.51 95.6 0.0140 B4400 LVGLMWLSY 1.55 1.26 -3.08 2.81 -0.27 1199.7 0.0000 - AGDSGFAAY 1.24 1.16 -2.72 2.4 -0.32 525.6 0.0000 B1502 WICLLQFAY 1.45 1.32 -3.39 2.77 -0.63 2476.4 0.0000 - YATSRTLSY 1.36 1.37 -3.5 2.73 -0.77 3140.6 0	+02
Mean ± STDEV 1.39±0.16 1.27±0.11 -3.00±1.27 2.66±0.20 -0.34±0.16 0.0132±0.16 0.0132±0.16 0.01 ATSRTLSYY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.66±0.20 -0.34±0.16 0.0132±0.16 0.01 YANRNRFLY 1.18 1.31 -1.98 2.49 0.51 95.6 0.0140 B4400 VANRNRFLY 1.18 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0000 B1500 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0000 B1502 WICLLQFAY 1.45 1.32 -3.39 2.77 -0.63 2476.4 0.0000 -	-
Mean ± STDEV 1.39±0.16 1.171 -3.00±1.27 2.66±0.20 0.0141 6629.1 0.01 ATSRTLSYY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B580 YANRNRFLY 1.18 1.31 -1.98 2.49 0.51 95.6 0.0140 B4400 LVGLMWLSY 1.55 1.26 -3.08 2.81 -0.27 1199.7 0.0000 AGDSGFAAY 1.24 1.16 -2.72 2.4 -0.32 525.6 0.0000 B1500 WICLLQFAY 1.45 1.32 -3.39 2.77 -0.63 2476.4 0.0000 YSRYRIGNY 1.36 1.37 -3.5 2.73 -0.77 3140.6 0.0000 B1510	
ATSRTLSYY 1.26 1.34 -1.68 2.6 0.92 48.2 0.0159 B580 YANRNRFLY 1.18 1.31 -1.98 2.49 0.51 95.6 0.0140 B4402 LVGLMWLSY 1.55 1.26 -3.08 2.81 -0.27 1199.7 0.0000 - AGDSGFAAY 1.24 1.16 -2.72 2.4 -0.32 525.6 0.0000 B1500 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1500 WICLLQFAY 1.45 1.32 -3.39 2.77 -0.63 2476.4 0.0000 - YSRYRIGNY 1.36 1.37 -3.5 2.73 -0.77 3140.6 0.0000 B1510	
YANRNRFLY 1.18 1.31 -1.98 2.49 0.51 95.6 0.0140 B4402 VANRNRFLY 1.18 1.31 -1.98 2.49 0.51 95.6 0.0140 B4402 LVGLMWLSY 1.55 1.26 -3.08 2.81 -0.27 1199.7 0.0000 - AGDSGFAAY 1.24 1.16 -2.72 2.4 -0.32 525.6 0.0000 B1500 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1500 WICLLQFAY 1.45 1.32 -3.39 2.77 -0.63 2476.4 0.0000 - YSRYRIGNY 1.36 1.37 -3.5 2.73 -0.77 3140.6 0.0000 B15102	801
LVGLMWLSY 1.55 1.26 -3.08 2.81 -0.27 1199.7 0.0000 - AGDSGFAAY 1.24 1.16 -2.72 2.4 -0.32 525.6 0.0000 B1503 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1503 WICLLQFAY 1.45 1.32 -3.39 2.77 -0.63 2476.4 0.0000 - YSRYRIGNY 1.36 1.37 -3.5 2.73 -0.77 3140.6 0.0000 B15103	402
AGDSGFAAY 1.24 1.16 -2.72 2.4 -0.32 525.6 0.0000 B1508 VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1508 WICLLQFAY 1.45 1.32 -3.39 2.77 -0.63 2476.4 0.0000 - YSRYRIGNY 1.36 1.37 -3.5 2.73 -0.77 3140.6 0.0000 B1510	
VATSRTLSY 1.34 1.31 -2.98 2.65 -0.33 946 0.0040 B1502 B1508 WICLLQFAY 1.45 1.32 -3.39 2.77 -0.63 2476.4 0.0000 - YSRYRIGNY 1.36 1.37 -3.5 2.73 -0.77 3140.6 0.0000 B1510	508
WICLLQFAY 1.45 1.32 -3.39 2.77 -0.63 2476.4 0.0000 - YSRYRIGNY 1.36 1.37 -3.5 2.73 -0.77 3140.6 0.0000 B1510	508 C0102
YSRYRIGNY 1.36 1.37 -3.5 2.73 -0.77 3140.6 0.0000 B1510	
×	516
₩ SSDNIALLV 0.95 0.12 -1.94 1.07 -0.87 86.6 0.0000 B1516 B3	B3909
ACFVLAAVY 1.69 1.41 -4.24 3.1 -1.13 17265.1 0.0054 B1508 B1517	517 B2702
A0301 R1508 R	B1517 B2701
SGFAAYSRY 1.53 1.17 -3.93 2.69 -1.23 8435.9 0.0386 B2702 B4	B4402
$1.18\pm$ 2.04, 0.07 2.52, 0.55 $-0.41\pm$ $3421.97\pm$ $0.0078\pm$	
Mean $\pm 51DEV$ 1.55 ± 0.21 0.38 -2.94 ± 0.87 2.53 ± 0.55 0.68 5485.1 0.01	

Maali et al. **Table 1.** Prediction of proteasomal cleavage pattern, MHC class I processing, and T cell-related potential epitope.

[DOI: 10.52547/JoMMID.9.2.88]

Nucleocapsid Phosphoprotein

Envelope Protein

J Med	Microbiol	Infect Dis
JIMU	TALLET ODIOL	Inter Dis

	LTALRLCAY	1.42	1.27	-2.09	2.69	0.6	123.3	0.0000	-
	VSLVKPTVY	1.37	1.38	-3.5	2.75	-0.75	3168	0.0028	B1517
	LVKPTVYVY	1.51	1.35	-3.83	2.86	-0.97	6797.8	0.2790	A1101 A6801 B1502 B1508 B1516 C0702
	NSVLLFLAF	1.3	1.19	-4.03	2.49	-1.54	10687.4	0.0855	C0304
	LIVNSVLLF	1.15	1.2	-4.08	2.35	-1.73	11893.9	0.0159	B5801
V0	LLFLAFVVF	1.53	1.18	-4.53	2.71	-1.82	34097.3	0.0587	A2402 B1502
ARS-C	IVNSVLLFL	1.66	0.47	-3.96	2.12	-1.83	9047.2	0.3429	A0201 A0202 A0203 A0206 A0214 A6802
S	SSEGVPDLL	1.36	0.46	-3.78	1.82	-1.96	5976	0.0394	B1509 B3801 B39011
	FVVFLLVTL	2	0.54	-4.53	2.54	-1.99	33863.9	0.3382	A0201 A0202 A0203 A0205 A0206 A0214
	FLAFVVFLL	1.45	0.41	-4.06	1.86	-2.2	11445.5	0.3565	A0201 A0202 A0203 A0205 A0206 A0209 A0214 A6802 B4402
	Mean ± STDEV	1.47± 0.23	0.94± 0.41	-3.84± 0.69	2.42± 0.37	-1.42± 0.84	12710.03± 11806.1	0.1519± 0.16	
	LTALRLCAY	1.42	1.27	-1.91	2.69	0.78	80.87	0.0000	-
	VSLVKPSFY	1.19	1.38	-3.35	2.58	-0.77	2216.49	0.0000	-
	LVKPSFYVY	1.51	1.35	-3.9	2.86	-1.03	7860.43	0.2790	A1101 A6801 B1502 B1508 B1516 C0702
	NSVLLFLAF	1.3	1.19	-3.77	2.49	-1.28	5869.13	0.0855	C0304
/-2	LLFLAFVVF	1.53	1.18	-4.36	2.71	-1.65	22695.78	0.0587	A2402 B1502
CoV	LIVNSVLLF	1.15	1.2	-4.03	2.35	-1.68	10757.62	0.0159	B5801
RS-	NVSLVKPSF	1.43	1.19	-4.37	2.62	-1.75	23699.6	0.0000	-
SA	FVVFLLVTL	2	0.54	-4.39	2.54	-1.86	24747.82	0.3382	A0201 A0202 A0203 A0205 A0206 A0214
	IVNSVLLFL	1.66	0.47	-4.01	2.12	-1.89	10301.97	0.3429	A0201 A0202 A0203 A0206 A0214 A6802
	NSSRVPDLL	1.34	0.47	-3.76	1.81	-1.95	5805.97	0.0000	-
	Mean ± STDEV	1.45±	$1.02\pm$ 0.37	-3.78±	2.48±	-1.31±	11403.57±	0.1120±	
	LSPRWYFYY	1.07	1.21	-1.85	2.28	0.44	70.4	0.0000	_
	ELSPRWYFY	1.58	1.23	-2.89	2.81	-0.08	784.1	0.0394	A0206 B1513 B4402
	LLNKHIDAY	1.31	1.24	-3.04	2.55	-0.49	1103.5	0.1342	A0301 B1502 B1508 B5701 B5702
	GTTLPKGFY	1.48	1.15	-3.16	2.62	-0.53	1438.7	0.0000	A6802
70V	GPDDQIGYY	1.24	1.01	-3.37	2.25	-1.12	2327.8	0.0080	B3801
SS-(KLDDKDPQF	1.68	1.08	-3.93	2.76	-1.17	8515.8	0.0213	A0203 B3801 B5702
SAJ	TPSGTWLTY	1.53	1.15	-3.88	2.67	-1.2	7522	0.2782	B0702 B1502 B1508 B3501 B5301 B5401
	FAPSASAFF	1.3	1.05	-3.93	2.35	-1.57	8448.7	0.0000	C0102
	SGPDDQIGY	1.37	1.23	-4.25	2.61	-1.65	17944.5	0.2136	B5701 C0702
	Mean ±	1.35 1.41±	1.38 1.17±	-4.63 -3.49±	2.92 2.58±	-1.7 -0.91±	9057.76±	0.0865±	C0702
	STDEV	0.19	0.11	0.82	0.23	0.72	12957.26	0.10	
2	LSPRWYFYY	1.07	1.22	-1.85	2.3	0.45	/0.4	0.0000	-
	DLSPRWYFY	1.58	1.18	-3.11	2.76	-0.35	1298.9	0.1215	A0206 A6801 A6802 B1513 B4402
		1.31	1.24	-3.04	2.55	-0.49	1103.5	0.1342	A0301 B1502 B1508 B5701 B5702
	GTTLPKGFY	1.48	1.15	-3.16	2.62	-0.53	1438.7	0.0000	A6802
-Vo	SSPDDQIGY	1.37	1.36	-3.32	2.74	-0.58	2066.5	0.2207	B1517 B5701 C0702
RS-C	SPDDQIGYY	1.24	1.11	-3.07	2.35	-0.73	1185.9	0.0080	B3801
SAR	TPSGTWLTY	1.52	1.15	-3.88	2.67	-1.21	7522	0.2782	B0702 B1502 B1508 B3501 B5301 B5401
	KLDDKDPNF	1.58	1.08	-3.96	2.66	-1.31	9202.3	0.0000	A0203 B5702
	FAPSASAFF	1.3	1.05	-3.93	2.35	-1.57	8448.7	0.0000	C0102
	MKDLSPRWY	1.31	1.28	-4.19	2.58	-1.61	15499.3	0.0000	-
	Mean ± STDEV	1.38± 0.16	1.18± 0.09	-3.35± 0.68	2.56± 0.17	-0.79± 0.64	4783.62± 5106.69	0.0763± 0.11	

In-silico Immunomodelling of SARS-CoV-2



Fig. 1. SWISS-MODEL characterization of surface glycoprotein, membrane glycoprotein, envelop protein, and nucleocapsid phosphoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. a) The top-view and side-view of filled 3D structures, b) table of comparison with a non-redundant set of PBD structures (adjusted by normalized QMEAN4 score and residual size), and c) Ramachandran plots of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV proteins.

The cytokine-secretion prediction showed that the "SFVSEETGT" is a potent epitope for the secretion of IL4. The "NVSLVKPSFYVYSRVK" was also introduced as the IL4, IL10, and INFγ inducer [19]. Further studies are required to predict the cytokine-secretion pattern by in-silico pattern.

Control of the immune system's inflammatory response to these two viruses is of great importance in

controlling the complications since the pathogenesis caused by these two viruses is immune-mediated. According to the analysis results of the antiinflammatory properties of 9-meric epitopes on the four main proteins of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV, the former appears to be more pathogenic than SARS-CoV due to its higher anti-inflammatory proteins and better triggering of the inflammatory response of the immune system.

[DOI: 10.52547/JoMMID.9.2.88]

	SARS-C	CoV	SARS-CoV-2			
	Epitope	Score Prediction	Epitope	Score Prediction		
	DILSRLDKV	4.54	TKCTLKSFT	4.75		
tein	ILSRLDKVE	4.54	DILSRLDKV	4.54		
	LGFIAGLIA	4.47	ILSRLDKVE	4.54		
	GFIAGLIAI	4.47	VFNATRFAS	4.49		
opro	FIAGLIAIV	4.47	LGFIAGLIA	4.47		
Jyc	IAGLIAIVM	4.47	GFIAGLIAI	4.47		
) eoi	AGLIAIVMV	4.47	FIAGLIAIV	4.47		
urfs	LSRLDKVEA	4.33	IAGLIAIVM	4.47		
S	RLITGRLQS	4.27	AGLIAIVMV	4.47		
	ESLIDLQEL	3.33	LSRLDKVEA	4.33		
	Mean \pm STDEV	4.34 ± 0.36	Mean ± STDEV	4.50 ± 0.10		
	PLRGTIVTR	3.64	YRIGNYKLN	2.87		
	YRIGNYKLN	2.87	RIGNYKLNT	2.87		
.u	RIGNYKLNT	2.87	IGNYKLNTD	2.52		
rote	IGNYKLNTD	2.52	GNYKLNTDH	2.52		
'cop	GNYKLNTDH	2.52	NYKLNTDHS	2.52		
embrane Gly	NYKLNTDHA	2.52	GTILTRPLL	2.38		
	NILLNVPLR	2.21	TILTRPLLE	2.38		
	ILLNVPLRG	2.21	ILTRPLLES	2.38		
Me	LLNVPLRGT	2.21	LTRPLLESE	2.38		
	LNVPLRGTI	2.21	TRPLLESEL	2.38		
	Mean \pm STDEV	2.58 ± 0.45	Mean ± STDEV	2.52 ± 0.20		
	TNSGPDDQI	3.50	DLSPRWYFY	2.88		
-	NSGPDDQIG	3.50	LSPRWYFYY	2.88		
oteir	VPINTNSGP	2.88	GYYRRATRR	2.69		
opr	PINTNSGPD	2.88	YYRRATRRI	2.69		
hqsc	INTNSGPDD	2.88	YRRATRRIR	2.69		
Phe	NTNSGPDDQ	2.88	RRATRRIRG	2.69		
psid	ELSPRWYFY	2.88	RATRRIRGG	2.69		
eoca	LSPRWYFYY	2.88	ATRRIRGGD	2.69		
lucle	GYYRRATRR	2.69	GQTVTKKSA	2.60		
Z	YYRRATRRV	2.69	QTVTKKSAA	2.60		
	Mean \pm STDEV	2.97 ± 0.29	Mean ± STDEV	2.71 ± 0.10		
	LAILTALRL	2.42	LAILTALRL	2.42		
-	AILTALRLC	2.42	AILTALRLC	2.42		
otein	ILTALRLCA	2.42	ILTALRLCA	2.42		
Pre	LTALRLCAY	2.42	LTALRLCAY	2.42		
lope	TALRLCAYC	2.42	TALRLCAYC	2.42		
Inve	ALRLCAYCC	2.42	ALRLCAYCC	2.42		
H	LRLCAYCCN	2.42	LRLCAYCCN	2.42		

 2.42 ± 0.00

Table 2. Prediction of anti-inflammatory peptides.

 $Mean \pm STDEV$

 2.42 ± 0.00

Mean \pm STDEV



Fig. 2. Difference in B-cells Epitopes of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV in surface glycoprotein, membrane glycoprotein, envelop protein, and nucleocapsid phosphoprotein via BepiPred. The epitope threshold was 0.64. All predicted epitopes contained a coiled structure and exposed surface.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We declare that there is no Acknowledgment.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We declare that there is no conflict of interest associated with this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Novel Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2): situation report 52. 2020. Avaiable from:

https://www.who.int/docs/defaultsource/coronaviruse/20200312-sitrep-52-covid-19.pdf

2. Beig Parikhani, A., Bazaz, M., Bamehr, H. et al. The Inclusive Review on SARS-CoV-2 Biology, Epidemiology, Diagnosis, and Potential Management Options. Curr Microbiol 78, 1099–1114 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-021-02396-x.

3.Paces J, Strizova Z, Smrz D, Cerny J. COVID-19 and the immune system. Physiol Res. 2020;69 (3): 379-88.

4. Oxenius A, Bachmann MF, Zinkernagel RM, Hengartner HJEjoi. Virus-specific major MHC class II-restricted TCR-

transgenic mice: effects on humoral and cellular immune responses after viral infection. Eur Journal Immunol. 1998; 28 (1): 390-400.

5. Naqvi AAT, Fatima K, Mohammad T, Fatima U, Singh IK, Singh A, et al. Insights into SARS-CoV-2 genome, structure, evolution, pathogenesis and therapies: Structural genomics approach. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis. 2020; 1866 (10): 165878.

6. Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, et al. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018; 46 (W1): W296-W303.

7. Guex N, Peitsch M.C, Schwede T. Automated comparative protein structure modeling with SWISS-MODEL and Swiss-PdbViewer: A historical perspective. Electrophoresis. 2009; 30; 162-73.

8. vBenkert P, Biasini M, Schwede T. Toward the estimation of the absolute quality of individual protein structure models. Bioinformatics. 2011; 27 (3): 343-50.

9. Bertoni M, Kiefer F, Biasini M, Bordoli L, Schwede T. Modeling protein quaternary structure of homo- and heterooligomers beyond binary interactions by homology. Sci Rep. 2017; 7 (1): :10480.

10. Kim Y, Ponomarenko J, Zhu Z, Tamang D, Wang P, Greenbaum J, et al. Immune epitope database analysis resource. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40: W525-30.

11. Nielsen M, Lundegaard C, Worning P, Lauemøller SL, Lamberth K, Buus S, et al. Reliable prediction of T-cell epitopes using neural networks with novel sequence representations. Protein Sci. 2003; 12 (5): 1007-17.

12. Lundegaard C, Lamberth K, Harndahl M, Buus S, Lund O, Nielsen M. NetMHC-3.0: Accurate web accessible predictions

of Human, Mouse, and Monkey MHC class I affinities for peptides of length 8-11. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008; 36: W509-512.

13. Andreatta M, Nielsen M. Gapped sequence alignment using artificial neural networks: application to the MHC class I system. Bioinformatics. 2016; 32 (4): 511-7.

14. Peters B, Sette A. Generating quantitative models describing the sequence specificity of biological processes with the stabilized matrix method. BMC Bioinformatics. 2005; 31; 6: 132.

15. Sidney J, Assarsson E, Moore C, Ngo S, Pinilla C, Sette A, et al. Quantitative peptide binding motifs for 19 human and mouse MHC class I molecules derived using positional scanning combinatorial peptide libraries. Immunome Res. 2008; 4: 2.

16. Molero-Abraham M, Lafuente EM1, Flower DR, Reche PA. Selection of conserved epitopes from hepatitis C virus for pan-populational stimulation of T-cell responses. Clin Dev Immunol. 2013; 2013: 601943.

17. Martin Closter Jespersen, Bjoern Peters, Morten Nielsen, Paolo Marcatili. BepiPred-2.0: improving sequence-based Bcell epitope prediction using conformational epitopes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017; 45 (W1): W24-W29.

18. Woodland DL. A focus on humoral immunity to viral infections. Viral Immunol. 2012; 25 (6): 441.

19. Ghafouri F, Cohan RA, Noorbakhsh F, Samimi H, Haghpanah V. An *in-silico* approach to develop of a multiepitope vaccine candidate against SARS-CoV-2 envelope (E) protein. Res Sq. 2020.

Cite this article:

Maali A, Teimouri H, Azad M, Amiri Sh, Adibzadeh S. *In-silico* Immunomodelling of SARS-CoV-2. J Med Microbiol Infect Dis, 2021; 9 (2): 88-96. DOI: 10.52547/JoMMID.9.2.88.