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INTRODUCTION

Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever (CCHF) is the most widespread tick-borne viral disease of humans caused by the CCHF virus (genus Orthobunyavirus, family Nairoviridae) [1]. CCHF virus is a significant public health problem; it can result in a potentially fatal hemorrhagic fever in humans (case fatality rate up to 50%). Currently, there is no licensed vaccine or specific antiviral therapy available for this viral infection [1-3].

CCHF virus is transmitted to humans and a wide range of wild and domestic animals such as cattle, sheep, and goats by infective bites of ticks [4]. The CCHF virus RNA has been detected in at least 31 tick species [5]. Ixodidae ticks, particularly the members of the genus Hyalomma are both the principal reservoir and vector for the CCHF virus and play a vital role in the geographical distribution of the virus [4, 6]. Apart from the infective bite of ticks, humans acquire the CCHF virus by direct contact with viremic livestock’s tissues or blood. Also, reports of nosocomial transmission of the virus among health care staff are available [7, 8].

CCHF is an endemic disease in many countries in Africa, Europe, Asia, and also in the middle east [3]. The first evidence of CCHF virus circulation in Iran dates back to 1970 when serology detected CCHF antibodies in humans and livestock in different parts of the country [9]. Moreover, some studies have shown the CCHF virus infection in ticks in Iran. The CCHV infection in ticks ranged from 5% to 11% in some areas in the north and center of Iran [10-12].

Shahr-e Ray, the southernmost urban area in Tehran Province, is one of the leading meat suppliers in the country and is home to many animal husbandries, slaughterhouses and meat processing units. Consequently, shepherds, butchers, livestock handlers, abattoir workers, and veterinary staff working in this area are at the risk of acquiring tick-borne infections such as CCHF. This study aimed to determine the CCHF virus infection in ticks collected from sheep in animal husbandries and slaughterhouses of Shahr-e Ray by RT-PCR.

http://jommid.pasteur.ac.ir
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study area. Shahr-e Rey (35° 35′ N, 51° 26′ E) with a population of over 300000 inhabitants and more than 22000 Km² is in the south of Tehran Province, the capital of Iran (Fig. 1) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rey, Iran).

Tick collection and identification. From December 2016 to November 2017, 1249 sheep of different breeds from four animal husbandries, and one slaughterhouse in Shahr-e Ray were randomly selected and examined for tick infestation. The entire body of animals, particularly ears, nape of the neck, perineum, scrotum, and the tail base, was examined for the presence of ticks. Ticks were carefully removed from the infested animals using fine curved-tip forceps with great care. The collected live ticks were individually transferred into the sterile screwcapped labeled vials and kept under specific humidity and temperature in a cold box during transfer to Vector Biology Laboratory at Tehran University of Medical Sciences. All information about the sampling location, host, and life stage was recorded. The ticks were identified morphologically under a stereomicroscope using valid taxonomic keys [3] and then transferred to the Department of Arboviruses and Viral Hemorrhagic Fevers (National Reference Laboratory), Pasteur Institute of Iran, for molecular detection of CCHF virus.

Viral RNA extraction and RT-PCR. Ticks were individually washed twice with PBS 1X (pH 7.4) crushed in 200–300 μl of PBS 1X, and 89 pooled lysates of ticks were prepared. Total RNA extraction was performed using the RNA Easy Mini kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) (Cat. No: 74106) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted RNAs were stored at -70°C until used. The RNA specimens were screened for CCHFV by an RT-PCR assay (One-Step RT-PCR Kit, Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) using the specific primers (F2 5´-TGGACACCTTCACAAACTC-3´ and R3 5´-GACAATTCCCTACACC-3´) that target a 536 bp of the small segment of the viral genome [13]. The 50 µl reactions contained 5 µl of 5x OneStep RT-PCR Buffer, 0.6 µM each primer, 2 µl dNTPs (containing 10 mM of each dNTP), 2 µl OneStep RT-PCR Enzyme Mix and 500 ng of viral RNA. RT-PCR amplification began with 30 min at 50°C, and 15 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 50°C, 45 s at 72°C and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. RNA specimens, extracted from a previously RT-PCR-positive serum, and double-distilled water (DDW), were used as positive and negative controls, respectively, in assays.

RESULTS

During seasonal sampling, out of the 1249 sheep examined, 109 (8.7%) were infested with ticks. A total of 376 ticks were collected, out of which 176 (47%) were from a slaughterhouse, and the other 200 (53%) were from animal husbandries in Shahr-e Ray. The taxonomic approach identified ten species, nine hard tick (97.87%), and one soft tick (2.13%), belonging to four genera Hyalomma, Dermacentor, Rhipicepalus, and Ornithodoros (Table 1). In terms of seasonal activities, our results showed that the highest number of ticks were collected during spring (45.21%), followed by summer (28.99%), autumn (20.21%) and winter (5.59%) (Table 2).

The RT-PCR detected CCHFV in none of the 89 RNA specimens extracted from pools of tick lysates.

DISCUSSION

CCHF is a significant public health concern, with cases occurring over a wide geographic range (1). Considering the critical role of ticks in CCHF virus transmission to humans and livestock, monitoring tick infection is crucial for surveillance and disease control [6].

In this study, among 1249 sheep in 4 animal husbandries and one slaughterhouse in Shahr-e Ray, Iran, 8.7% had tick infestation. In some areas of Iran, the variation in tick infestation among livestock, ranging from 24% to 43%, and as high as 72% [11, 14, 15] reflects the difference in geographical features, sanitation levels and also prevention methods.
In this study, four genera and ten tick species, including *D. marginatus*, *Hyalomma anatolicum*, *Hyalomma asiaticum*, *Hyalomma excavatum*, *Hyalomma marginatum*, *Hyalomma scupense*, *Hyalomma sp.*, *O. lahorensis*, *Rhipicephalus bursa* and *R. sanguineus* were identified. In a similar study in Qom province, the most prevalent genus was *Hyalomma* (74%) [16]. In Isfahan province, *Hyalomma* and *Rhipicephalus* were reported as the most common genera [17]. Also, *Hyalomma anatolicum* and *Hyalomma asiaticum* have been reported as two prevalent tick species in Zahedan province [18]. In Ghaemshahr County of Mazandaran Province, *Rhipicephalus* was reported as the dominant genus [14]. Also, in Golestan Province, *Rhipicephalus* and *Hyalomma* were reported as the main prevalent genera [15].

### Table 1. Tick species collected from infested sheep in Shahr-e Ray, Iran during 2016-17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>species</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Dermacentor marginatus</em></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Hyalomma anatolicum</em></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Hyalomma asiaticum</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Hyalomma excavatum</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Hyalomma marginatum</em></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Hyalomma scupense</em></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Hyalomma sp</em></td>
<td>132</td>
<td>35.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><em>O. lahorensis</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>Rhipicephalus bursa</em></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><em>Rhipicephalus sanguineus</em></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>16.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>376</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. The seasonal differences in tick prevalence in sheep in Shahr-e Ray, Iran during 2016-17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>No. of infested Sheep (%)</th>
<th>No. of collected Ticks (%)</th>
<th>Ticks per sheep (Average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>49 (44.95)</td>
<td>170 (45.21)</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>32 (29.36)</td>
<td>109 (28.99)</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>23 (21.10)</td>
<td>76 (20.21)</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>5 (4.59)</td>
<td>21 (5.59)</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>109 (100)</td>
<td>376 (100)</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of this study and similar studies [15, 19, 20] show that the frequency of ticks in spring is higher than in other seasons, which is due to vegetation, humidity, and more suitable climate conditions in temperate and warm seasons that favors the ticks’ life cycle.

Based on our molecular results, the CCHFV was detected in none of the collected ticks, while Chinikar et al. reported 9% of CCHF infection in collected ticks in Isfahan province [10]. In Hamadan Province, Tahrusebi et al. found 11.3% of CCHF infection in 328 collected ticks in Bahar city [12]. Also, in Golestan and Sistan-Baluchistan Provinces, the CCHF virus was detected in 5.3% and 4.5% of ticks, respectively [11, 21]. Although the result of the present study suggests the low risk of CCHF transmission via tick bites, further investigation with larger sample sizes are required to elucidate this hypothesis [22].

In this study, despite the high tick infestation of the sheep, no CCHFV infection was observed, indicating a low risk of CCHFV exposure in Shahr-e Ray city. However, since the ecology of tick-borne diseases such as CCHF is dynamic, an outbreak in areas where competent vectors are present can occur at any time. Therefore, necessary measures to reduce the tick infestation of animals should be implemented in this area.
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